

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/14TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 22893 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER(S) :

1. V.O.DANIEL
AGED 78 YEARS, VALIYAVILAYIL HOUSE,
KALANJOOR MURI & VILLAGE
KALANJOOR P.O., ADOOR, PATHANAMTHITTA.

2. MARIAMMA DANIEL
AGED 66 YEARS, VALIYAVILAYIL HOUSE,
KALANJOOR MURI & VILLAGE
KALANJOOR P.O., PATHANAMTHITTA.

BY ADVS.SRI.JACOB P. ALEX
SRI.JOSEPH P. ALEX

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, CIVIL STATION
PATHANAMTHITTA, PIN-689 645.

2. THASILDAR
TALUK OFFICE, ADOOR,
PATHANAMTHITTA-689 645.

3. V.MOHANAN NAIR
S/O. VASUKUTTAN NAIR, VILAYIL VEEDU
KALANJOOR MURI & VILLAGE, ADOOR TALUK
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT-689 645.

R1 & R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. C.K. SHERIN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 05-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 22893 of 2015 (J)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

- EXT. P1 : COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION DTD.23.12.2011 FILED BY THE
PETITIONERS BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.**
- EXT. P2 : COPY OF THE PLAINT IN OS NO.70 OF 2012 BEFORE THE SUB COURT,
PATHANAMTHITTA.**
- EXT. P3 : COPY OF THE NOTICE BEARING NO.C3-17281/11(1) DTD.14.8.2013
ISSUED FROM THE OFFICE OF 1ST RESPONDENT.**
- EXT. P4 : COPY OF THE REPLY DTD.24.8.2013 TO EXT.P3 SUBMITTED ON
BEHALF OF PETITIONERS BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 22893 of 2015 (J)

Dated this the 5th day of August, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners' grievance seems to be that Ext.P1 revision filed on 23.12.2011 has not been considered till date by the 1st respondent.

2. Considering the confined prayer made, there shall be a direction to the 1st respondent to consider Ext.P1 revision, if still pending, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

The Writ Petition is disposed of with the above observation.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE