

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/23RD BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 22756 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER(S):

D.MANOHARAN, AGED 61 YEARS
SON OF LATE DAMODARAN, TC NO.20/1735(1)
ATTUKAL BHAVANAM, SASTRI NAGAR EAST, KARAMANA POST
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695002.

BY ADVS.SRI.K.L.NARASIMHAN
SRI.A.MOHAMMED FAIZAL
SRI.P.G.PRAMOD
SRI.N.KRISHNA PRASAD

RESPONDENT(S):

1. CORPORATION OF THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, CORPORATION BUILDINGS
PALAYAM POST, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695033.
2. THE SECRETARY
CORPORATION OF THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
CORPORATION BUILDINGS, PALAYAM POST
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695033.
3. THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
(CORPORATION ENGINEER)
CORPORATION OF THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
CORPORATION BUILDINGS, PALAYAM POST
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695033.
4. THE ACCOUNTS OFFICER
CORPORATION BUILDINGS, PALAYAM POST
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695033.

R BY SRI.P.K.MANOJKUMAR,SC,TVPM CORPORATION

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
14-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 22756 of 2015 (T)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT-P1: TRUE COPY OF THE SELECTION NOTICE DATED 04.11.2013.

EXHIBIT-P2: TRUE COPY OF THE MATERIAL COLLECTION/LEVEL REPORT DATED
22.09.2014.

EXHIBIT-P3: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 20.05.2014 FILED BY THE
PETITIONER.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.22756 of 2015

Dated this the 14th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Heard the Counsel for the petitioner and the Senior Counsel for the respondents.

2. The treasury bill dated 31.3.2015 in favour of the petitioner issued by the first respondent Corporation could not be encashed for want of liquidity in the treasury. It is reported that respondents 1 and 2 are taking steps to include the name of the petitioner in the priority list for disbursement from the own funds.

3. The petitioner is not much concerned as to whether the disbursement should be from the own funds of the first respondent or from the amounts funded by the Central Government. The petitioner is eager to have the amount due to him settled since similar other contractors have already been paid the money.

4. I direct respondents 1 and 2 to pay the amount due to the petitioner within a period of two months from today.

The writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj.