

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

TUESDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF JULY 2015/6TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 22695 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER(S) :

**K.T.VASUDEVAN,
SINDU HOUSE, P.O PUTHIYANGADI, KOZHIKODE.**

BY ADV. SRI.I.DINESH MENON

RESPONDENT(S) :

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
VATAKARA- 673 101.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.A.SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 28-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

WP(C).No. 22695 of 2015 (J)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS :

**EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE RESPONDENT FOR REVISION OF PETITIONER'S
OWN TIME SCHEDULE ON THE ROUTE HILL BAZAR-KOYILANDY-
KOZHIKODE IN RESPECT OF KL-11/AN-9597.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS :

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 22695 of 2015

Dated this the 28th day of July, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondent to consider his request for revision of his own timings.

2. The petitioner is the regular permit holder on the route between Hill Bazar and Koyilandy via Kozhikode in respect of stage carriage bearing registration No.KL-11/AN 9597. The petitioner alleges that he has been operating on the route for quite some time. By efflux of time, lot of new services have been introduced on the route in question as well as certain permit holders have surrendered their permits and certain permit holders have changed their timings. All these have led to sufficient circumstances leading to revision of timings of petitioner's own service and these are all circumstances as prescribed in Rule 145 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules. The petitioner has already preferred a request for revision of timings of the petitioner's own service and the

same has not been considered so far. It is with this background, the petitioner has come up before this Court.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Senior Government Pleader in the matter.

Considering the nature of the submissions and the nature of the relief sought for, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P1 request, after affording the petitioner and affected operators an opportunity of being heard, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

To facilitate an early action, it shall be open to the petitioner to produce a copy of the writ petition as well as the copy of this judgment before the respondent at the earliest.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

Scl.