

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH**

**MONDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF JULY 2015/5TH SRAVANA, 1937**

**WP(C).No. 22636 of 2015 (D)**  
-----

**PETITIONER:**  
-----

**MANGALA SEAFOODS  
INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, AROOR,  
ALAPPUZHA - 688 534  
CONSUMER NO. HTB 21/6017  
REGD. OFFICE.CC XVI/1368  
BHAT MEMORIAL BUILDING  
THOPPUMPADY, COCHIN  
REPRESENTED BY ITS PARTNER M.R. ASHOK**

**BY ADVS.SRI.BLAZE K.JOSE  
SRI.M.RAJESH  
SMT.P.SHEENA RAJAN**

**RESPONDENTS 1 TO 4:**  
-----

- 1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.  
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN  
VYDYUTHI BHAVAN, PATTOM  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695 001**
- 2. THE SPECIAL OFFICER (REVENUE)  
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.  
VYDYUTHI BHAVAN, PATTOM  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695 001**
- 3. THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER  
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.  
ELECTRICAL SECTION, AROOR  
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT, PIN - 686 564**
- 4. THE CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM  
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.  
CENTRAL REGION, ERNAKULAM  
POWER HOUSE, ERNAKULAM - 682 018  
REPRESENTED BY CHAIR PERSON.**

**BY SRI.JAICE JACOB, SC, KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 27-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS**  
-----

- P1 : COPY OF THE MAHAZAR DATED 23.05.2015**
- P2 : COPY OF THE PROVISIONAL ASSESSMENT DATED 04.06.2015, BILL & CALCULATION STATEMENT**
- P3 : COPY OF THE OBJECTION DATED 11.07.2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE PROVISIONAL ASSESSMENT**
- P4 : COPY OF THE FINAL ASSESSMENT ORDER DATED 29.06.2015 AND BILL**
- P5 : COPY OF THE ORDER IN REPRESENTATION NO. P150/2010 DATED 18.09.2010 THE ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN**
- P6: COPY OF THE COMPLAINT NO. 52 OF 2015 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 4TH RESPONDENT AGAINST EXT. P4 SHORT ASSESSMENT AND BILL**

**RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:- NIL**  
-----

**/TRUE COPY/**

**P.A. TO JUDGE**

**DCS**

Dated this the 27<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2015

**JUDGMENT**

The alleged failure to segregate the power load and the light load has led to the passing of Ext. P4 final assessment order. The petitioner contends that the reasoning in Ext. P4 order runs counter to Ext. P5 order of the Electricity Ombudsman.

2. The petitioner has challenged Ext. P4 order in Ext. P6 complaint with the fourth respondent Redressal Forum. The complaint is accompanied by an application for interim stay of coercive steps.

3. I direct the fourth respondent forum to consider the motion made for interim relief in Ext. P6 complaint within a period of one month. The petitioner shall be put on notice and heard in the exercise.

4. The coercive steps pursuant to Ext. P4 order shall be put on hold till orders are passed on the motion made for interim relief in Ext.P6 complaint.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH  
JUDGE**