

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

MONDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF JULY 2015/5TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C) .No. 22578 of 2015 (V)

PETITIONER(S) :

E.P.REMA,
S/O.RAMESAN,
SREEPARAVATHY NIVAS, PATTUVAM,
P.O.TALIPARAMB, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.O.D.SIVADAS.

RESPONDENT(S) :

THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
KANNUR-673001.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. K.A.SANJEETHA.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
27-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

rvs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :

EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER DATED
17/07/2015.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS:

NIL.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

RVS.

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 22578 of 2015

Dated this the 27th day of July, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The limited prayer in this writ petition is for a direction to the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P1, which was submitted by the petitioner for revision of own timings.

2. The petitioner, who is operating on the route between Kannur HQ Hospital and Sreekandapuram via Niranthodu on the basis of regular permit issued in respect of stage carriage bearing Reg.No.KL-13 Q/7567, alleges that on account of the introduction of increased number of services on the sector and also due to the bad condition of the road, the time gap available to the petitioner has been reduced and he is not in a position to conduct his service profitably. Hence, the petitioner filed Ext.P1 application for revision of timings, which, according to him, would enable him to conduct his service

..2..

profitably. As no action has been taken by the respondent on Ext.P1, the petitioner has approached this Court.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader in the matter.

Considering the nature of the prayer, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P1 after affording the petitioner and other operators an opportunity of being heard, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

To facilitate an early action, the petitioner shall be at liberty to produce a copy of this judgment as well as a copy of this writ petition before the respondent at the earliest.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

bka/-