

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

MONDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF JULY 2015/5TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 22503 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER(S):

**V.M.KOCHUNNI, AGED 62 YEARS,
VADAKKENETHIL HOUSE, MANJAPPETTY,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.DEEPAK

RESPONDENT(S):

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
MUVATTUPUZHA - 686 661.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.A.SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
27-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

WP(C).No. 22503 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

**EXT.P1: A TRUE COPY OF APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY PERMIT FILED ON
18.6.2015.**

EXT.P2: A TRUE COPY OF APPLICATION FOR REGULAR PERMIT FILED ON 19.6.2015.

EXT.P3: A TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DTD.9.4.2014 IN WPC.NO.10614 OF 2014.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Msv/

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 22503 of 2015

Dated this the 27th day of July, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has approached this Court for a direction to the respondent to consider Exts.P1 & P2 applications submitted by the petitioner for issue of temporary and regular permits.

2. The petitioner, who is a stage carriage operator, submitted Ext.P1 application for grant of temporary permit to conduct service on the route between Neendapara and Aluva, to conduct substitute service in the defaulted vacancy of stage carriage bearing Reg.No.KL-40/6100. When Ext.P1 was submitted on 18.06.2015, the petitioner was informed that the regular permit in respect of the aforesaid vehicle has already expired and the permit holder of the said vehicle has not filed any application for renewal. Accordingly, he submitted Ext.P2 application for regular permit on the above route on 19.06.2015. The petitioner points out that Ext.P2 application is to be considered by the

..2..

RTA, Muvattupuzha. He alleges that no regular meeting of the RTA is likely to be scheduled any time soon. He further points out that this cannot preclude the respondent from issuing temporary permit on Ext.P1. The petitioner approached this Court for a direction to the respondent to consider Exts.P1 & P2 applications within a time frame.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

Considering the nature of the relief sought for, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Exts.P1 & P2 applications, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

To facilitate an early action, the petitioner shall be at liberty to produce a copy of this judgment as well as a copy of this writ petition before the respondent at the earliest.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

bka/-