

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI**

**THURSDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF JULY 2015/8TH SRAVANA, 1937**

**WP(C).No. 22325 of 2015 (M)**  
-----

**PETITIONER :**  
-----

**NAIMY E.J.,  
PROPRIETOR,  
M/S.THARAKAN'S CEMENT PRODUCTS  
KOTTAPADY P.O., THRISSUR-680 505.**

**BY ADV. SRI.DILIP J. AKKARA**

**RESPONDENT(S) :**  
-----

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA  
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT  
DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS, SECRETARIAT  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. ASSISTANT LABOUR OFFICER  
GURUVAYUR, LABOUR OFFICE, GURUVAYUR-680 101.**
- 4. KERALA HEAD LOAD WORKERS WELFARE FUND BOARD  
THRISSUR, REPRESENTED BY CHAIRMAN  
JAIHIND MARKET BUILDING, THRISSUR-680 001.**

**R1 & R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. K.A. SANJEETHA  
R4 BY ADV. SRI.C.S. AJITH PRAKASH, SC**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 30-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**Mn**

**WP(C).No. 22325 of 2015 (M)**  
-----

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :**  
-----

- EXT.P1.        PHOTOCOPY OF LICENCE NO.31/02 DATED 18/4/2015 ISSUED BY  
                 GURUVAYUR MUNICIPALITY.**
- EXT.P2.        PHOTOCOPY OF APPLICATION DATED 30/6/2015 BY T.L.PRINSON FOR  
                 REGISTRATION U/S. 26(A) OF HEAD LOAD WORKERS ACT.**
- EXT.P3.        PHOTOCOPY OF APPLICATION DATED 30/6/2015 BY T.J.NIJO.**
- EXT.P4.        PHOTOCOPY OF APPLICATION DATED 30/6/2015 BY M.PREMDAS.**
- EXT.P5.        PHOTOCOPY OF APPLICATION DATED 30/6/2015 BY TARKESHWR.**
- EXT.P6.        PHOTOCOPY OF APPLICATION DATED 30/6/2015 BY BHUPENDR.**

**RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS :        NIL**  
-----

**//TRUE COPY//**

**P.A. TO JUDGE**

**Mn**

**A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.**

-----  
W.P.(C) No. 22325 of 2015  
-----

Dated this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2015

**J U D G M E N T**

The petitioner is seeking a speedy action by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent on Exts.P2 to P6 applications.

2. The petitioner is running a unit, manufacturing cement bricks and other building materials. She has five permanent workers to operate the unit. She alleges that the local Heard Load Workers Unions are obstructing the operation of the unit claiming that the petitioner's workers have no right to carry on the work. She further alleges that the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent is not taking any action in the matter to favour the politically influential unions. Hence, this writ petition.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Standing Counsel for the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent and the learned senior Government Pleader.

4. As the learned counsel for the petitioner

..2..

confined his argument to the limited prayer for a direction to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to consider Exts.P2 to P6 applications within a time frame, this Court is of the view that the writ petition can be disposed of even without issuing notice to the respondents.

Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to consider Exts.P2 to P6 applications within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment, after affording the petitioner and the affected parties an opportunity of being heard.

Sd/-  
**A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI**  
**JUDGE**

bka/-