

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

THURSDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF JULY 2015/1ST SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 22233 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER(S):

**P.L. PAUL, AGED 53 YEARS,
S/O. VARGHEESE LONAN, POOVELI HOUSE NAYATHODU P.O.,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT - 683 572.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.AVANEESH KOYIKKARA
SRI.LINDONS C.DAVIS**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 2. THE LAND REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
COMMISSIONERATE OF LAND REVENUE, PUBLIC OFFICE BUILDING,
MUSEUM JN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 33.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
CIVIL STATION, KAKKANAD, ERNAKULAM - 682 030.**
- 4. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
FORT KOCHI - 682 001.**
- 5. THE SUB REGISTRAR,
ANGAMALY - 683 572.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.C.K.SHERIN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
23-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

WP(C).No. 22233 of 2015 (D)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXT.P1: THE TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL PREFERRED BY THE PETITIONER AND
RECEIPT ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.**

EXT.P2: THE TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC 21488/14 DT. 19/8/14.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

MsV/

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.22233 of 2015

Dated this the 23rd day of July, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is the absolute owner in possession of 02.93 Ares of land comprised in Survey No.386/7-2, in Block No.12 of Angamaly Village in Ernakulam District. The petitioner preferred a fair value appeal at Ext.P1 before the 3rd respondent in the matter relating to fixation of fair value of the aforementioned property. Now the petitioner wants to dispose the property. The limited prayer of the petitioner in the writ petition is to give a direction to the registering authority to register the document under protest, subject to final fixation of fair value of the property in the appeal.

2. In such circumstances, there shall be a direction to the 5th respondent to register the document executed by the petitioner in respect of the aforesaid property under protest, in

accordance with the fair value fixed in respect of the above property. However, it is made clear that registration of the document based on fair value determined as of now will be subject to final disposal of the appeal by the competent authority. If the appeal is disposed of, reducing the present fair value, necessarily the petitioner shall be entitled to refund of the excess stamp duty paid on the document to the person, who paid the stamp duty and corresponding registration fee. In view of pendency of Ext.P1 appeal before the 3rd respondent, there shall be a direction to the 3rd respondent to dispose of the appeal, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The refund, if any shall not carry any interest.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

sp