

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

THURSDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF JULY 2015/8TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 22140 of 2015 (N)

PETITIONER:

**MOHAMMED IBRAHIM,
S/O. ABDUL RAZACK, SHIFA MANZIL,
MADUPPIKKAVU, THATTAMANGALAM P.O.,
CHITTUR TALUK, PALAKKAD.**

BY ADV. SRI.G.PRABHAKARAN

RESPONDENT:

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
PALAKKAD - 678 001.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.A.SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 30-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

WP(C).No. 22140 of 2015 (N)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

**EXHIBIT-P1- TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT
AUTHORITY, PALAKKAD GRANTING REGULAR PERMIT TO THE
RESPONDENT, ITEM NO. 37, WITHITS ORDER NO. G1/81339/2014/P
DATED 12/02/2015 OPERATING ON THE ROUTE WALAYAR -
VADAKKANCHERY WITH S/C KL70/A 878.**

**EXHIBIT-P2- TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 30/06/2015 IN
W.P(C) 19543/2015.**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 22140 of 2015

Dated this the 30th day of July, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondent to implement Ext.P1 proceedings granting regular permit to the petitioner.

2. The petitioner applied for the grant of a regular stage carriage permit on the route between Walayar and Vadakkencherry on 17.05.2014. According to the petitioner, there is no sufficient stage carriage service facility. The field officers also have reported that the public interest warrants for the introduction of this service urgently; it is alleged. Though the application was considered on 12.08.2014, it was adjourned. Finally, the RTA considered the matter on 12.02.2015 and granted regular permit subject to settlement of timings and the petitioner produced the records of the vehicle on 20.04.2015 so that the granted permit could be issued

..2..

immediately. The petitioner points out that as per Ext.P1, there was no objector even for timings. As the Secretary, RTA has not fixed any timing conference for issuing the granted permit so far, the petitioner has approached this Court for implementing Ext.P1 with a proposed timing or otherwise.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

Considering the nature of the submission and the nature of the relief prayed for, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to implement Ext.P1 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment without fail.

To facilitate an early action, it shall be open to the petitioner to produce a copy of this judgment as well as a copy of this writ petition before the respondent at the earliest.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

bka/-