

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF JULY 2015/30TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 21938 of 2015 (N)

PETITIONER(S):

**A. M. ASHRAF,
S/O.MOHAMMED FATHIMA, THATCHERY VILAKOM,
EDAVALA POST,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 311.**

BY ADV. SRI.DENIZEN KOMATH

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. DISTRICT COLLECTOR,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 2. TAHSILDAR,
TALUK OFFICE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 3. VILLAGE OFFICER,
KADAKAMPALLI VILLAGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 4. T.K.THOMAS,
RECEIVER ADVOCATE, VANCHIYOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

R1 TO R3 BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
21-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

WP(C).No. 21938 of 2015 (N)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXT.P-1: TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE EXTRACT OF REGISTER BEARING MUTATION
NUMBER 3587.**

**EXT.P-2: TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE REQUEST PREFERRED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 10.12.2012.**

**EXT.P-3: TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE RECEIPT OF LAND TAX PAYMENT OVER
PROPERTIES IN SURVEY NUMBER 1270 AND A EXTENT IN SURVEY
NUMBER 1282.**

**EXT.P-4: TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE JUDGEMENT OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT
IN WPC NO.770 OF 2013 DATED 8.1.2013.**

**EXT.P-5: TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT
VIDE REFERENCE NUMBER H4 13153/13 DATED 6.7.2013.**

**EXT.P-6: TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE APPEAL PREFERRED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT AND ACCEPTED BY THE
1ST RESPONDENT ON 27.2.2015.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Msv/

K. Vinod Chandran, J

W.P.(C).No.21938 of 2015-N

Dated this the 21st day of July, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner, aggrieved with Exhibit P5 decision of the 2nd respondent, filed Exhibit P6 appeal before the 1st respondent. The grievance of the petitioner is non-consideration of Exhibit P6 appeal by the 1st respondent.

2. The appeal before the 1st respondent is seen filed only on 27.02.2015. The appellate authority definitely will have to look at the similar appeals pending before it and dispose of the same in accordance with law; however, taking up for disposal those appeals filed earlier in point of time first. No warrant for issuance of mandamus exists as of now. Exhibit P6 would be considered as expeditiously as possible.

Writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
K.Vinod Chandran
Judge.

vku/-

[true copy]