

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

FRIDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/20TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 32056 of 2006 (N)

PETITIONER(S):

ABDUTTY MOULAVI A., S/O.MOIDEENKUTTY,
AGED 65 YEARS, NOOR MAHAL, NADUVATH P.O.
WANDOOOR, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.SAMSUDIN

RESPONDENT(S):

1. STATE OF KERALA,
REP. BY THE DIRECTOR OF SURVEY AND LAND RECORDS
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF SURVEY AND
LAND RECORDS, MALAPPURAM.

3. THE TAHSILDAR, NILAMBUR TALUK OFFICE,
NILAMBUR.

4. AYISHAKUTTY, D/O.CHERUPALLIKKAL
KUNJIMOHAMMED HAJI, METHALANGADI, EDAVANA P.O.
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

5. MR.SURAJ, FIRST GRADE SURVEYOR,
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF SURVEY AND LAND
RECORDS, MALAPPURAM.

R4 BY ADV. SRI.BABU S. NAIR

R4 BY ADV. SRI.K.RAKESH

R1 TO R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.K.SAIDALAVI.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
11-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXHIBIT P1: COPY OF LAND TAX RECEIPTS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PETITIONER FOR THE YEAR 1994-95.

EXHIBIT P2: COPY OF LAND TAX RECEIPTS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PETITIONER FOR THE YEAR 2001-2002.

EXHIBIT P3: COPY OF LAND TAX RECEIPT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PETITIONER FOR THE YEAR 2005-2006.

EXHIBIT P4: COPY OF LAND TAX RECEIPTS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PETITIONER FOR THE YEAR 2005-2006.

EXHIBIT P5: COPY OF POSSESSION CERTIFICATE IN RESPECT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PETITIONER.

EXHIBIT P6: COPY OF SUO MOTU REPORT PREPARED BY THE VILLAGE OFFICER, MAMPAD.

EXHIBIT P7: COPY OF SUO MOTU REPORT PREPARED BY THE VILLAGE OFFICE, MAMPAD.

EXHIBIT P8: COPY OF NOTICE ISSUED BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT DATED 6.3.2006.

EXHIBIT P9: COPY OF ORDER ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 17.11.2006.

EXHIBIT P10: COPY OF MEMORANDUM OF APPEAL FILED BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

/TRUE COPY/

PS TO JUDGE

vgs

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

=====

W.P(C) No.32056 of 2006

=====

Dated this the 11th day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

When the matter came up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the matter has become infructuous. Therefore, the writ petition is closed without examining the merits.

Sd/-

**A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE**

krj