

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR

MONDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF JULY 2015/29TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 21768 of 2015 (U)

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONERS:

- 1. SUSEELA AGED 50 YEARS
W/O.PODIYAN, ANIL BHAVAN, NELLIKUNNAM P O
KOTTARAKKARA, KOLLAM DISTRICT**
- 2. K VINOD AGED 39 YEARS
S/O.A KUMARAN, NIRAPPUVILA PUTHANVEEDU, CHEPRA P O
KOTTARAKKARA, KOLLAM DISTRICT**

**BY ADVS.SRI.RENJITH THAMPAN (SR.)
SMT.P.R.REENA**

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENT:

**KERALA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, VELLANIKKARA
THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN 680654**

BY SRI.BABU JOSEPH KURUVATHAZHA,SC,KERALA AGRICULTURAL UNVSTY.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
20-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1:- TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE UNIVERSITY
NO F.S(3)575/09DTD 18/7/2009
- EXT.P2:- TRUE COPY OF THE RANK LIST PUBLISHED ON 6/8/2014 BY KERALA
AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY
- EXT.P3:- TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS FS(3)385/02 DTD 27/11/2013
- EXT.P4:- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE UNIVERSITY DTD 28/11/2009
- EXT.P5:- REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE
RESPONDENT UNIVERSITY

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL

// True copy //

PA to Judge

das

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.

W.P.(C). No. 21768 of 2015

Dated this the 20th day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioners, who are permanent labourers working in the Farming System Research Station, Sadanandapuram, under the respondent University, are aggrieved by their placement below others in a rank list, that is prepared by the respondent University. It is the contention of the petitioners in the writ petition that they ought to have been placed above some of their juniors in the said rank list. Agitating their grievance, the petitioners have preferred Ext.P5 representation before the respondents and the limited prayer in the writ petition is for a direction to the respondents to consider and pass orders on Ext.P5 representation, expeditiously.

2. I have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and also the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents.

On a consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made across the bar, I dispose the writ petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and pass orders on Ext.P5 representation, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. The respondents

shall, while passing orders as directed, also hear the persons against whose inclusion in the list the petitioners are aggrieved. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the writ petition together with a copy of this judgment before the respondents for further action.

Sd/-
A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR
JUDGE

das