

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF JULY 2015/29TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 21704 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER:

**M.B.MOHAN,S/O.LATE BHASKARAN,
MADAMBIKATTIL HOUSE,VELLANIKKARA VILLAGE,
TRICHUR-680 654.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.I.MAYANKUTTY MATHER
SRI.R.JAIKRISHNA**

RESPONDENT'S:

- 1. REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
Ayyanthole,Civil Station,Trichur-680 003**
- 2. TAHSILDAR,Ayyanthole,Civil Station,Trichur-680 003**
- 3. VILLAGE OFFICER,VELLANIKKARA,TRICHUR-680 654**
- 4. AGRICULTURAL OFFICER,KRISHI BHAVAN,
VELLANIKARA,TRICHUR-680 654**

R1 TO R4 BY SPECIAL GOVT. PLEADER SRI.P.K.SOYUS

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 20-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

pto

WP(C).No.21704 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1:TRUE COPY OF THE SETTLEMNT DEED NO.3081/06 OF THE OLLOOKKARA
SRO DT. 22/7/06.

EXT.P2:TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT EXTRACT OF THE DATA BANK
REGISTRART-VELLANIKKARA VILLAGE, DATED NIL.

EXT.P3:TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST OF THE PETITIONER DBEFORE THE 3RD
RESPONDENT DT. 2/2/07.

EXT.P3(a):TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT OF THE 3RD RESPONDENT DT. 9/2/07.

EXT.P4:TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT UNDER CLAUSE 6(2) OF THE KERALA
LAND UTILIZATION ORDER DT. 2/7/15

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

SVS

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P(C) No. 21704 of 2015

Dated this the 20th day of July, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is the owner of 1 acre of land in Survey Nos.109/2, 109/3 and 109/4 of Vellanikkara Village, which is said to be 'purayidam' but however described in the revenue records as 'nilam'. The petitioner contends that even in the Draft Data Bank prepared under the Kerala Conservation of Paddy Land and Wet Land Act, 2008 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Paddy Land Act') the property is shown as converted land.

2. The Supreme Court in RDO v. Jalaja Dileep [2015(2) KHC 109(SC)] considered the issue of rectification of description in the Basic Tax Register and held that the same is not permissible. However, with respect to conversion and utilisation of lands which are not covered or were converted prior to bringing into force of

the Paddy Land Act it was held so in paragraphs 17 and 23, which are extracted hereunder:

“17. "Paddy land" and "Wetlands" are defined under Sections 2 (xii) and 2 (xviii) of the Act respectively. As per Section 5(4), the Committee shall interalia prepare a data Bank with details of cultivable paddy land within the jurisdiction of the Committee. If the land is not included in the Data Bank or Draft Data Bank prepared under the Kerala Cultivation of Paddy Land and Wetland Act, 2008 and if it is not a "Paddy Land" or "Wetland" as defined under Act 28 of 2008, at the time of commencement of the Act 12 of 2008 and the classification of land is noted as "Nilam" in the revenue records, the provision of Kerala Land Utilization Order 1967 will be applicable to such land and the Collector as defined in clause 2(a) of KLU Order 1967 has the power to grant permission to utilize the land for other purposes. As stated in clause 2(a) of KLU Order, Collectors shall examine such request for residential purpose, on merits on a case to case basis. However, with a view to prevent indiscriminate filling of Paddy Lands in the State, the Government have also prescribed certain restrictions in the Notification dated 5.2.2002 noted (supra), in which District Collectors have been directed interalia to ensure that the conversions which are likely to render irrigation investments infructuous and large scale conversion for commercial purpose are not allowed.

xxx

xxx

xxx

23. The respondents in all the appeals are directed to approach the competent authorities constituted under KLU Order 1967/ Kerala Conservation of Paddy Land and Wetland Act 2008 as the case may be for conversion of the land. When the respondents approach the concerned authorities constituted under the above statutes, the concerned authorities shall consider the application of the respondents in accordance with the relevant provisions of the statutes and also the notification G.O.(Rt).No.157/2002/Ad dated 5.2.2002 already extracted above in para 11 and in accordance with law keeping in view the factual position that may be brought to the notice of the authorities along with material to substantiate their claim. In the facts and circumstances of the case, we make no order as to costs.”

3. In such circumstances, no rectification of the Basic Tax Register could be made. The petitioner has a contention that the land though included in the Data Bank prepared under the Paddy Land Act for the area, the Data Bank itself indicates it to have been converted 30 years back, i.e., prior to the Act of 2008. The petitioner then has to approach the appropriate authority under the Kerala Land Utilisation Order 1967 for changed

utilisation of the land. Such an application is filed at Ext.P4. If the property is found to be utilised for a different purpose prior to the Paddy Land Act, the consideration shall be made, if necessary, after a physical inspection and concluded by a speaking order within two months from the date of production of the certified copy of this judgment, in accordance with Puthan Purakkal Joseph v. Sub Collector [2015 (3) KLT 182]. Subsequent to such a finding the petitioner could also approach the appropriate authority under the Kerala Land Tax Act for fresh assessment of the land, as directed to be utilised under the KLU order, as has been held in Kizhakkambalam Grama Panchayath V. Mariumma [2015 (2) KLT 516].

Writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

(K. VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE)

jma

//true copy//

P.A to Judge