

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAJI P.CHALY

THURSDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF JULY 2015/1ST SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 21544 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER:

**ABHIRAMA S.KUMAR,
D/O SAJI KUMAR V. , ALOOR HOUSE, AYAPARAMBU P.O.,
CHERUTHANA, HARIPAD - 690 517**

BY ADV. SRI. S.SUBHASH CHAND

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
OFFICE OF THE MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
PRIYADARSHINI HILLS,
KOTTAYAM - 686 560 REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR.**
- 2. THE REGISTRAR, MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
OFFICE OF THE MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
PRIYADARSHINI HILLS,KOTTAYAM - 686 560.**
- 3. THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATION,
MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
OFFICE OF THE MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
PRIYADARSHINI HILLS, KOTTAYAM - 686 560.**

BY SRI. VARGHESE M.EASO, SC, M.G. UNIVERSITY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 23-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE RESULT SHEET IN RESPECT OF THE PETITIONER
DOWNLOADED FROM THE WEBSITE OF THE UNIVERSITY.
- EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT NO. 336589 DT. 19/6/15 EVIDENCING
REMITTANCE OF REVALUATION FEE.
- EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT. 24/ 6/15 SUBMITTED BY
THE PETITIONER BEFORE RESPONDENT NOS. 1 & 3 (EXCLUDING
ANNEXURE).

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS - NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

SHAJI P. CHALY, J.

W.P.(C). No.21544 of 2015

Dated this the 23rd day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

This writ petition is filed seeking direction to the first respondent University to revalue the Real Analysis paper in which the petitioner has failed and the result may be published on an early date.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the University.

3. Learned Standing Counsel for the University on instructions submits that the last date for receipt of application for revaluation was 2.7.2015 and thereafter the University has got 60 days time as per the regulations to publish the revaluation result. On the other hand, the counsel for the petitioner submits that if the results are not published early, the petitioner's admission to the Post Graduate course will be in difficulties and therefore, there may be a direction to the University to publish the result on an early date.

4. The learned Standing Counsel submits that the pick and

choose method of revaluation application is not possible in view of the centralised revaluation conducted by the University. At the same time, learned Standing Counsel submits that if an application is made by the petitioner to confidentially communicate the marks to the institute in which he proposes to pursue his Post Graduation course, the same shall be done by the University.

5. In the facts and circumstances of the case, there will be a direction to the first respondent University to publish the result of the petitioner, if possible, at an earlier date or else within the outer limit prescribed under the regulations. There will be a further direction to the University to communicate the result confidentially in the event of an application preferred by the petitioner in proper form denoting the details required for such communication.

Writ petition is disposed of accordingly with the above direction.

Sd/-
SHAJI P. CHALY
JUDGE

smv