

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAJI P.CHALY

THURSDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF JULY 2015/1ST SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 21417 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER:

**ABDUL RASHEED,
VELIPARAMBIL, CHANDIROOR P.O.,
CHERTHALA, PIN - 688 534.**

**BY ADVS. SRI. P. SANTHOSH KUMAR (TR)
SRI. T.P. SAJAN**

RESPONDENT:

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, ALAPPUZHA,
PIN - 688 001.**

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 23-07-
2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE SCHEDULE OF THE S/C VEHICLE KL-32 B 7103
OPERATING ON THE ROUTE MUTTATHIPPARAMBU-CHERTHALA -
VYTTILA.

EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE TIME SCHEDULE OF THE S/C VEHICLE KL-4K 9340
OPERATING ON THE ROUTE CHERTHALA-ARROKUTI-KUMBALANGI.

EXHIBIT P3: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 06.04.2015 FOR INTER
CHANGE OF TIME SLOTS SUBMITTED BEFORE THE RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS - NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

SHAJI P. CHALY, J.

W.P.(C). No.21417 of 2015

Dated this the 23rd day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner on the ground that in spite of Ext.P3 application, respondent authority has not cared to consider his application for re-settling of timings.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for the respondent.

3. The learned Government Pleader on instructions submits that as per the instruction received by her, the petitioner is not the owner of the vehicle bearing registration No.Kl-4 K 9340 referred to in Ext.P3 application. Learned counsel for the petitioner on the other hand submits that even though in the registration book the name of the petitioner differs but he is the true owner of the vehicle and therefore, he is entitled to get the timings revised.

4. After appreciating the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader, I

am of the considered opinion that Ext.P3 can be directed to be disposed of within a time frame. Therefore, there will a direction to the respondent to consider Ext.P3 application and take a decision on the same, after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order

Sd/-
SHAJI P. CHALY
JUDGE

smv