

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

THURSDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/16TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 21178 of 2015 (V)

PETITIONER:

**RATHEESAN V.R., AGED 60 YEARS
S/O.RAMACHANDRAN, CHAITHANYA
HOUSE NO.21/1717 (OLD NO.19/1194), S.D.P.Y ROAD
PALLURUTHY.P.O.,KOCHI-682 006.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.JIMMY GEORGE (VATTATHARA)
SRI.T.JEEJAN**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. CORPORATION OF KOCHI
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, KOCHI CORPORATION
ERNAKULAM-682 011.**
- 2. DIRECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES
O/O. DIRECTORATE OF MUNICIPALITIES
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 3. REGIONAL PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER
BHAVISHYANIDHI BHAVAN, P.B.NO.1016, PATTOM
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 004.**
- 4. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**

**BY ADVS. SRI.K.P.JUSTINE(KARIPAT)SC,COCHIN CORPORATION
SMT.T.N.GIRIJA, SC, EPF ORGANISATION
SRI.P.K.SOYUZ, SC, COCHIN CORPORATION
BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASREE**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
08-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 21178 of 2015 (V)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

**EXHIBIT P1 : COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION ISSUED BY THE PETITIONER
TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 04.04.2015.**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

sp

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.21178 of 2015

Dated this the 8th day of October, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P1 representation dated 04.04.2015 filed before the first respondent. The prayer of the petitioner is to direct the first respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P1 within a reasonable time.

In such circumstances, there will be a direction to the first respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P1 representation as expeditiously as possible and after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that, this Court has not made any observation on merits, which the first respondent will consider in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

sp

//True Copy//

P.A. to Judge.