

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

THURSDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF JULY 2015/1ST SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 21035 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER:

**M.SHIVARAMA,S/O.LATE SUBBA MANIYANI,
RESIDING AT THALAPPACHERY HOUSE, ADOOR VILLAGE,
URDOOR P.O.,KASARAGOD DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.KODOTH SREEDHARAN

RESPONDENT'S:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. COMMISSIONER,LAND REVENUE,MUSEUM JUNCTION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 033.**
- 3. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,KASARAGOD-671 123.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.C.K.SHERINE.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 23-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

pto

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

- P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DTD.28.10.2009 FILED BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT BY THE PETITIONER.
- P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DTD.10.1.2011 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DTD.10.10.2012 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC NO.2187/2014 BY THIS HON'BLE COURT DTD.22.1.2014.
- P5 : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DTD.4.8.2014 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- P6 : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DTD.28.5.2015 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- P7 : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DTD.5.5.2007 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- P8 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER, KANNUR.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

atg

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.21035 of 2015

Dated this the 23rd day of July, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a citizen of India and holder of SBBL gun. The petitioner approached the third respondent by filing Ext.P1 application for renewal of the licence in time, also remitting the requisite fee, but the same has not yet been considered so far. The prayer is to cause the same to be considered within a reasonable time.

2. In such circumstances, if Ext.P1 is received in original, the same shall be considered, after calling for a report from the District Police Chief and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. The consideration shall be in the light of the decisions reported in **Ganesh Prasad v Board of Revenue (LR) [2005 (2) KLT 645]** and **Chandran Nair C. v. Additional District Magistrate, Kasaragod and others**

[2015 (1) KHC 351], at any rate within two months from the date of production of the certified copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

sp