

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

FRIDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF JANUARY 2015/3RD MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 21097 of 2014 (J)

PETITIONER(S):

**NOUFAL.M.P, AGED 30 YEARS,
S/O.MUHAMMED, MELEPULLIKKUMPARAMBA,
P.O.PUVATTUPARAMBA
KOZHIKODE-673008.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.NIRMAL. S
SMT.VEENA HARI**

RESPONDENT(S):

**THE SECRETARY,
CORPORATION OF CALICUT,
CORPORATION OFFICE, BEACH ROAD
KOZHIKODE-673001.**

**BY ADVS. SRI.P.V.SURENDRANATH,SC,
SRI.K.D.BABU,SC**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 23-01-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

PJ

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO BETWEEN THE
PETITIONER AND THE RESPONDENT 9-5-2011.**

EXHIBIT P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE FRESH AGREEMENT EXECUTED ON 5-10-2011.

**EXHIBIT P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DT.24-13 ALONG WITH POSTAL
RECEIPTS AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT CARDS.**

EXHIBIT P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE LAWYER NOTICE DT.10-6-13.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL.

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P.(c) No. 21097 of 2014

Dated this the 23rd day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent, apart from perusing the record. Since the issue lies in a narrow compass, this Court proposes to dispose of the writ petition at the admission stage itself.

2. Briefly stated, the petitioner, a Civil Contractor, is said to have executed certain works for the respondent corporation. Though the petitioner has made Exhibit P3 representation and Exhibit P4 legal notice for the payment of amounts due to him on account of his executing the civil works for the corporation, they have not been so far considered. Aggrieved thereby, the petitioner approached this Court.

3. In the facts and circumstances, having regard to the respective submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, this Court disposes of the present writ petition with a direction to the

respondent to consider Exhibit P3 representation of the petitioner in accordance with law, and pass appropriate orders thereon as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

With the above observation, this writ petition is disposed of.

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE

DMR/-