

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

THURSDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/19TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 20846 of 2015 (E)

PETITIONER(S):

1. SUNNY JACOB, AGED 50 YEARS,
S/O. MATHEW CHACKO, KALATHIL HOUSE, MOUNT VARDHA
MUTTAMBALAM P.O., KOTTAYAM DISTRICT- 686 004.
2. MAGGY SUNNY, AGED 45 YEARS,W/O. SUNNY JACOB
KALATHIL HOUSE, MOUNT VARDHA, MUTTAMBALAM P.O.
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT - 686 004

BY ADVS.SRI.JOBY JACOB PULICKEKUDY
SRI.ANIL GEORGE
SRI.K.S.SUMEESH

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE KOTTAYAM MUNICIPALITY
MUNICIPAL OFFICE, KOTTAYAM- 686 001
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
2. THE SECRETARY ,KOTTAYAM MUNICIPALITY,
MUNICIPAL OFFICE, KOTTAYAM- 686 001
3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
DEPARTMENT, KOTTAYAM MUNICIPALITY, KOTTAYAM.
4. THE DISTRICT TOWN PLANNER, KOTTAYAM MUNICIPALITY,
MUNICIPAL OFFICE, KOTTAYAM-686 001.

R1&2 BY ADV. SRI.S.RANJIT (KOTTAYAM), SC, KOTTAYAM MUNICIPALITY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
10-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1 THE PHOTOCOPY OF SALE DEED NO. 348/2007 DATED 25-01-2007
- EXT.P1(a) TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF SALE DEED NO. 349/2007
- EXT.P1(b) TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF SALE DEED NO.350/2007
- EXT.P2 TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF BASIC TAX RECEIPT DATED 07-05-2015 OF THE PROPERTY OF THE 1ST PETITIONER
- EXT.P2(a) TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF BASIC TAX RECEIPT DATED 7.5.2015 IN THE NAME OF THE SECOND PETITIONER.
- EXT.P3 TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF JUDGMENT DATED 2.12.2014 IN W.P.(C) NO.20277/2014.
- EXT.P4 COPY OF ORDER DATED 31.3.2015 ISSUED BY THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER.
- EXT.P5 TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF LAW OUT APPROVAL DATED 17.4.2015 ISSUED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P6 TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF ORDER DATED 14.5.2015 ISSUED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.20846 of 2015

Dated this the 10th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ext.P6 order suspending the building permit is impugned. Final orders are yet to be passed by the second respondent.

2. I permit the petitioners to submit a detailed representation to the second respondent. Any representation filed within two weeks from today shall be dealt with within three weeks therefrom.

3. The petitioners as well as the fourth respondent shall be heard in the exercise. The necessity for concurrence if any by the fourth respondent shall also be adverted to.

The writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj.