

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF JULY 2015/19TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 20835 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER:

**THOMMY FRANCIS, SON OF THOMMY,
KALASSERY PUTHEVEEDU, ATTUVATHALA,
KAINAKARI.P.O., PIN - 688 501, PRESENTLY
RESIDING AT 45/1874A, LINK PARK,
P.G.ANTONY GROUND ROAD, PACHALAAM,
KOCHI - 682 012.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.M.MOHAMED NVAZ
SRI.T.PSAJAN
SRI.P.SANTHOSH KUMAR (TR)**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ALAPPUZHA,
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
CIVIL STATION, ALAPPUZHA, PIN - 688 001.**
- 2. ADDITIONAL THASILDAR,
OFFICE OF THE ADDITIONAL THASILDAR,
KUTTANAD TALUK, PIN - 688 501.**
- 3. VILLAGE OFFICER, KAINAKARY VILLAGE,
KUTTANAD, KAINAKARY.P.O., PIN - 688 501.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.C.K.SHERIN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 10-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS:

- EXT. P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE WILL BEARING NO.1/1967 OF PULINKUNNU SRO.
- EXT. P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT DATED 21.2.1973 ISSUED BY KAINAKARY VILLAGE.
- EXT. P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT DATED 14.3.1984 ISSUED BY KAINAKARY VILLAGE.
- EXT. P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 19.10.2009.
- EXT. P5 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 19.10.2010.
- EXT. P6 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 27.11.2014.
- EXT. P7 : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.G.O.(MS)NO.200/10 REV. DATED 31.5.2010.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.20835 of 2015

Dated this the 10th day of July, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner, a senior citizen, is aggrieved by the delay in disposing of Ext.P6 application made by the petitioner before the first respondent, for correction of mistake committed in recording the tenure in respect of the property having an extent of 37 cents belonging to him in old Survey No.443/2, 443/4 and 443/4B1 in Kainakary Village. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will be satisfied, if a direction is given to the first respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P6 application within a reasonable time.

2. The learned Government Pleader however points out that the petitioner's application had been forwarded to the 2nd respondent who is the competent authority to consider the same.

In such circumstances, there will be a direction to the 2nd

respondent to consider Ext.P6 application at any rate within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

sp