

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

FRIDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF JULY 2015/19TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 20832 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER :

**K.T. ANILKUMAR,
S/O. KUNHIRAMAN
PULIYALLAVALAPPIL HOUSE
P.O. NARATH, KANNUR DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.I.DINESH MENON

RESPONDENT :

**THE SECRETARY
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
KANNUR P.O. - 670 001.**

BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. K.A. SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 10-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 20832 of 2015 (D)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

**EXT.P1 : COPY OF THE REQUEST SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER FOR
REVISION OF TIMINGS OF THE PETITIONER'S OWN SERVICE.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No.20832 of 2015

Dated this the 10th day of July, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondent for revision of timings of his own service operating on the route between Kannur Hospital and Pera via Perukunnuthara.

2. The writ petition is one filed mainly for a direction to consider Ext.P1 request submitted by the petitioner. The petitioner alleges that the said request was filed by the petitioner as early as on 4.3.2015. The main reason stated for the revision of timings of the petitioner's own service is introduction of new services and unhealthy competition. Though the petitioner approached the office of the respondent on many occasions, the prayer of the petitioner fell in deaf ears; it is alleged. It is with this background, the petitioner has come up before this Court.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader in the matter.

Considering the nature of the submissions and the nature of the relief sought for, the writ petition is disposed of directing respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P1, after affording the petitioner and the other affected operators, on opportunity of being heard, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

To facilitate an early action, it shall be open to the petitioner to produce a copy of the writ petition as well as the copy of this judgment before the respondent at the earliest.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

Scl.