

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

WEDNESDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF JULY 2015/17TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 20543 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER(S):

**VARSHA JEEJO, AGED 17 YEARS,
D/O.JEEJO JACOB, NEDUMKALLEL HOUSE,
(VELUTHEDATHUPARAMBIL), CHELAD P.O.,
ERNAKULAM, PIN-686 681.
(MINOR, REPRESENTED BY HER FATHER AND
GUARDIAN JEEJO JACOB, NEDUMKALLEL HOUSE,
(VELUTHEDATHUPARAMBIL), CHELAD P.O.,
ERNAKULAM, PIN-686 681.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.JACOB P.ALEX,
SRI.JOSEPH P.ALEX.**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. COCHIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR,
COCHIN UNIVERSITY P.O., KOCHI-682 022.**
- 2. THE VICE CHANCELLOR,
COCHIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
COCHIN UNIVERSITY P.O., KOCHI-682 022.**
- 3. THE REGISTRAR,
COCHIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR,
COCHIN UNIVERSITY P.O., KOCHI-682 022.**

BY ADV. SRI.MILLU DANDAPANI.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 08-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- | | |
|--------|--|
| EXT.P1 | COPY OF THE CBSE MARKSHEET DATED 25/05/2015 OF THE PETITIONER. |
| EXT.P2 | COPY OF THE ADMIT CARD ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER FOR CAT-2015. |
| EXT.P3 | COPY OF THE CAT-2015 RESULTS OF THE PETITIONER PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY. |
| EXT.P4 | COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 04/07/2015 SUBMITTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT. |

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:- **NIL.**

//TRUE COPY//

PA. TO JUDGE

rs.

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 20543 of 2015

Dated this the 8th day of July, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to consider Ext.P4 representation.

2. The petitioner completed Class XII (CBSE-AISSCE) with aggregate of 96% marks and A+ for all subjects. The petitioner alleges that she appeared in the common admission test - 2015 conducted by the first respondent University and is ranked 57 (for B.Com LLB) and 74 (for BBA.LLB). Counseling for admission was scheduled on 1.7.2015. However, the petitioner could not participate for counseling as on that day, as she was bedridden due to food poisoning. Now, the university is offering spot admission to students in the vacant seats. However, despite having a high rank in the entrance test, the petitioner is not being considered for admission merely for the reason that she could not appear for counseling on 1.7.2015. Ext.P4 representation requesting to consider the candidature of the petitioner for admission in the order of merit in the next arising vacancy is also not being considered;

it is alleged. It is with this background, the petitioner has come up before this Court.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel for the respondent university.

4. The learned Standing Counsel for the respondent University on instructions would submit that as per the prospectus issued, once a candidate does not attend the admission process within the time schedule, he or she will forfeit the chance of admission . Therefore, at present, the university is not in a position to admit the petitioner.

However, as the prayer of the petitioner is only for a consideration of Ext.P4, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider Ext.P4 representation requesting to consider the candidature of the petitioner for admission in the order of merit in the next arising vacancy.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

Scl.