

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF JULY 2015/24TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 20512 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.SUDARSANAN, AGED 45 YEARS
PALLIVILAKATHU VEEDU, KARICHAL, KAZHIVOO P.O.
KANJIRAMKULAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT-695524.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.V.VARGHESE
SRI.JELSON J.EDAMPADAM**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.**
- 2. A.UNNI
PALLIVILA VEEDU, NEAR LMS CHURCH, KARICHAL
KAZHIVOO P.O., PIN:695524.**
- 3. ANITHA
PALLIVILA VEEDU, NEAR LMS CHURCH, KARICHAL
KAZHIVOO P.O., PIN:695524.**
- 4. BALAKRISHNAN
PALLIVILA VEEDU, NEAR LMS CHURCH, KARICHAL
KAZHIVOO P.O., PIN:695524.**
- 5. VASANTHI
PALLIVILA VEEDU, NEAR LMS CHURCH, KARICHAL
KAZHIVOO P.O., PIN:695524.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
15-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 20512 of 2015 (L)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 3.11.2014 FILED BY THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE IST RESPONDENT.**

**EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DATED 27.11.2014 SUBMITTED BY THE
VILLAGE OFFICER, KANJIRAMKULAM, BEFORE THE IST
RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

ds

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 20512 of 2015 (L)

Dated this the 15th day of July, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner only seeks consideration of Ext.P1 application filed, under Section 133 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, before the 1st respondent.

2. The petitioner's grievance is against the trees standing in the properties of respondents 2 to 5 and the pathway said to be passing through the said properties.

3. The learned Government Pleader submits that the same is not a grievance coming under Section 133 of Cr.P.C. and the petitioner would have to take appropriate remedies before the civil court.

4. In any event, since an application has been filed the same shall be considered after issuing notice to

respondents 2 to 5 as expeditiously as possible. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations, even on the maintainability of the petition, which shall be considered by the R.D.O.

Writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE