

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

THURSDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/26TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 20426 of 2015 (C)

PETITIONER:

**SUSEELA RAMAN, AGED 31 YEARS,
W/O.MOHANAN V.K., CHENNAPARAYIL HOUSE,
KULAMAVU P.O., THODUPUZHA , IDUKKI -685 601.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.M.MANOJ,
SRI.S.RUSSEL,
SRI.V.R.ARUN,
SRI.PRATHEUSH.M.ANAND.**

RESPONDENTS:

**1. DISTRICT COLLECTOR, COLLECTORATE,
KUYILIMALA, PAINAV P.O., IDUKKI- 685 603.**

**2. THE MANAGER,
DISTRICT INDUSTRIES CENTER,
CHERUTHONI, IDUKKI -685 584.**

**3. BRANCH MANAGER,
THE FEDERAL BANK LIMITED,
BRANCH VELLIYAMATTOM,
THODUPUZHA, IDUKKI -685 588.**

**R1 & R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.GIKKU JACOB.
R3 BY ADVS. SRI.P.RADHAKRISHNAN,
SRI.MADHU RADHAKRISHNAN.**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 17-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

rs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- P1: A TRUE COPY OF THE EXPERIENCE CERTIFICATE BY THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER LSGD SECTION VELLIYAMATTOM.**
- P2:- A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANT UNDER PMEGP DTD. 13/02/2015 ALONG WITH ALL THE ESSENTIAL DOCUMENT AND PROJECT REPORT.**
- P3:- A TRUE COPY OF THE GUIDELINES ON PRIME MINISTER'S EMPLOYMENT GENERATION PROGRAMME (PMEGP).**
- P4:- A TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DTD. 17/04/2015 OF THE 3RD RESPONDENT.**
- P5:- A TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO B6/636/15 DTD. 13/05/2015 BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**
- P6:- A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DTD. 26/05/2015.**
- P7:- A TRUE COPY OF THE POSTAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT CARD AND RECEIPT OF RECEIVING REPRESENTATION.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:- NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

rs.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

W.P(C)No. 20426 of 2015

Dated this the 17th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner confines his prayer for consideration of Ext.P6 by the first respondent. The petitioner claims to be belonged to Scheduled Tribe. The petitioner seeks loan under the Prime Ministers Employment Generation Programme (PMEGP). It appears that petitioner's application has been rejected citing the following reasons:-

- a) The applicant has no previous experience in the activity.*
- b) The activity is proposed in a reserve forest area where chance of getting contract work is less.*
- c) Strategy for winning contract works not established.*

She submits that the rejection of loan application by the recommending authority was unsustainable as per Ext.P4. Therefore, the petitioner approached the District Collector.

2. This Court is of the view that Ext.P6 shall be considered by

WP(C).NO. 20426 OF 2015

: -2- :

the District Collector after hearing the petitioner as well as respondents 2 and 3 within a period of one month from today.

This writ petition is disposed of as above.

sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE,
Judge

MBS/

WP(C).NO. 20426 OF 2015

:-3-: