

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 6TH DAY OF JULY 2015/15TH ASHADHA, 193

WP(C).No. 20205 of 2015 (A)

PETITIONER:

**ARJUN SIVAJI, AGED 17 YEARS,
S/O.SIVAJI LAL, VASUVILLA,
OTTOOR, MOONGODE, VARKALA PO-695 144,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT,
REPRESENTED BY HIS FATHER AND GUARDIAN SIVAJI LAL.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.B.SAHASRANAMAN
SRI.K.JAGADEESH
SRI.T.S.HARIKUMAR**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. DIRECTORATE OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION,
PADMAVILASOM STREET, FORT P.O,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 023,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SENIOR JOINT DIRECTOR (ECS)**
- 3. K.R.NARAYANAN NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
VISUAL SCIENCE AND ARTS,
SREEPADHAM, HOUSE NO.107, KRISHNA NAGAR,
PEROORKADA PO, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 005.**

R1 & R2 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.C.K.SHERIN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 06-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

WP(C).No. 20205 of 2015 (A)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- P1 : TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE LETTER ISSUED BY K.R.NARAYANAN NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF VISUAL SCIENCE & ARTS, DATED 20-6-2015.**
- P2 : TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE MEMO ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT IN RESPECT OF ENTRANCE EXAMINATION FOR BFA ADMISSIONS 2015-16.**
- P3 : TRUE PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 30-6-2015.**

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P(C). No.20205 of 2015

Dated this the 06th day of July, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved with the fact that the petitioner has been called for a five day orientation programme by the 3rd respondent between 6th and 10th of July, 2015, which coincides with the Entrance Examination scheduled by the 2nd respondent on 07.07.2015 and 08.07.2015. The orientation programme and interview of the 3rd respondent is for a Diploma course, while the Entrance Examination of the 2nd respondent is for a Graduate course. The petitioner seeks to appear in both and contends that the clash in fact disentitles him from appearing in either of the said selections.

2. Admittedly, Ext.P1 was issued on 20.06.2015. The petitioner has made a representation on 30.06.2015 and has approached this Court only one day prior to the Entrance

Examination scheduled by the 2nd respondent. Neither the 2nd respondent or the 3rd respondent would be able to re-arrange the scheduled programmes and notify all the students of a changed date. In such circumstances, this Court refuses to exercise discretion, and invoke the extra-ordinary jurisdiction.

The writ petition would stand dismissed.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

sp