

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR

TUESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/27TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).NO. 20106 OF 2015 (K)

PETITIONER(S):

REJINI K.S.
D/O.SANKARAN, EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, KSEB
TRANSMISSION DIVISION, PALAKKAD
RESIDING AT KOLATH HOUSE, BEHIND TELEPHONE EXCHANGE
SHORANUR, PIN - 679 121.

BY ADVS.SRI.A.A.ZIYAD RAHMAN
SRI.LAL K.JOSEPH
SRI.V.S.SHIRAZ BAVA
SRI.JOSEPH KURIAN VALLAMATTAM
SRI.K.H.ANSAR

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD
VYDHYUDHI BHAVANAM, PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY-695001.

2. THE CHIEF ENGINEER (HRM), KERALA ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.,
VYDHYUDHI BHAVANAM, PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.

3. THE DEPUTY CHIEF ENGINEER,
THE KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.
TRANSMISSION CIRCLE, PALAKKAD-675001.

4. N.SHYLAJA,
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD.
ELECTRICAL DIVISION, TIRUR-677101.

R1-R3 BY ADV. SRI.K.S.ANIL, SC, KSEB

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
18-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).NO. 20106 OF 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE BOARD ORDER DATED 17.06.2015 ISSUED BY THE 1ST
RESPONDENT.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF TRANSFER DATED 02.07.2015 ISSUED BY
THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 12.08.2015

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS:

EXT.R1(A): TRUE COPY OF THE TRANSFER GUIDELINES FOR OFFICERS OF KSEB
DATED 30.05.2013

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.

.....
W.P.(C).No.20106 of 2015

.....
Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner, who is presently working as Executive Engineer under the 1st respondent Board is aggrieved by an order of transfer issued to her pursuant to which she has been transferred from Palakkad to Kannur. Although various grounds have been raised in the writ petition against the order of transfer, and this Court had by an interim order dated 03.07.2015 stayed the implementation of Ext.P2 order, to the extent it related to the petitioner, during the pendency of the writ petition, the petitioner would now be satisfied with a direction to the 1st respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P3 representation that has been preferred by the petitioner before him after hearing the petitioner.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing counsel for the respondents.

On a consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case as also the submissions made across the bar, I dispose the writ petition with a direction to the 1st respondent to consider and pass orders on

Ext.P3 representation preferred by the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment after hearing the petitioner and the 4th respondent. I make it clear that, till such time as orders are passed by the 1st respondent as directed above and communicated to the petitioner, the interim order passed by this Court shall continue to be in force.

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR
JUDGE

mns

