

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

THURSDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF JULY 2015/18TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 19913 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER :

**MEGHA S.,
D/O.G.SREEKUMAR,AGED 21 YEARS,
SREESADANAM, KOTTAMUKKU,
CHUNAKKARA, CHUNAKKARA P.O., MAVELIKKARA,
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.C.B.SREEKUMAR

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. COCHIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY(CUSAT),
COCHIN UNIVERSITY P.O., KOCHI-22,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR.**
- 2. THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
COCHIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
COCHIN UNIVERSITY P.O. ,KOCHI-22.**

R1 & R2 BY ADV. SRI.MILLU DANDAPANI, SC, COCHIN UNIVERSITY

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 09-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

sts

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1. TRUE COPY OF THE STATEMENT OF MARKS SCORED BY THE PETITIONER IN HER B.TECH DEGREE VIII(FINAL) SEMESTER EXAMINATION HELD IN APRIL, 2015.
- EXT.P2. TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR REVALUATION OF ANSWER BOOK
- EXT.P3. TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT FEE FOR REVALUATION ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER ON REMITTANCE OF REQUISITE FEE, DATED 19/6/15
- EXT.P4. TRUE COPY OF THE OFFER LETTER RECEIVED BY THE PETITIONER FROM IBM INDIA PVT LTD DATED 23/12/2014.
- EXT.P5. TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 19/6/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 19913 of 2015

Dated this the 9th day of July, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court for a direction to the respondent university to expedite the revaluation process of her answer sheet.

2. The petitioner who wrote final B.Tech Semester Examination in April, 2015, was shocked to know that she failed in 'Digital Image Processing' as shown in Ext.P1 mark list. The petitioner alleges that she preferred revaluation of the said paper as revealed from Ext.P2 application and Ext.P3 receipt. The petitioner reasonably apprehends that her employment opportunity in IBM Indian Pvt. Ltd as per Ext.P4 offer letter would be lost if the publication of revaluation result is delayed. Hence, she preferred Ext.P5 representation. However, till date no response from the respondents; it is alleged. It is with this background, the petitioner has come up before this Court.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent university.

4. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent University on instructions submitted that the revaluation process would be over by the end of the first week of September.

Recording the said submission, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent University to complete the revaluation process before the aforesaid time and after the revaluation is over, it shall be open to the petitioner to submit application for confidential mark list and in the event of submitting such application, the same shall be honoured by the university without any delay.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

W.P.(C) No. 19913 of 2015

-3-

Scl.