IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

WEDNESDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF JULY 2015/10TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 19662 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER :

SUDHEER S.,
KILIYANVILAKOM, VAKKAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.O.D.SIVADAS

RESPONDENT :

THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM (RURAL)
ATTINGAL – 695 101.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. K.A. SANJEETHA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 19662 of 2015 (G)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1. TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 24/6/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 19662 of 2015

Dated this the 1st day of July, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The limited prayer in this writ petition is for a direction to the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P1, which was submitted by the petitioner for revision of timings.

2. The petitioner is an existing operator on the route between Palachira and Attingal and the permit is issued in respect of stage carriage bearing Reg.No.KL-2/N 2503. The petitioner alleges that the timing of the petitioner's service is settled about five years before and on account of introduction of increased number of services and the introduction of KSRTC services, he is not in a position to conduct his service profitably. His grievance is that though he has submitted Ext.P1 application for revision of timings, no action has been taken by the respondent. Therefore, he prays for a

direction to the respondent to consider and dispose of Ext.P1.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader in the matter.

Considering the nature of the submission and the nature of the relief sought for, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P1 after affording the petitioner and other operators an opportunity of being heard within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

To facilitate an early action, the petitioner shall be at liberty to produce a copy of this judgment as well as a copy of this writ petition before the respondent at the earliest.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

bka/-