

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDRA MOHAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/4TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 29805 of 2005 (W)

PETITIONER :

JOMON SYRIAC, S/O. LATE K.T. SYRIAC,
VALIYAVEETIL HOUSE, THANNEERMUKKOM MURI, CHERTHALA
TALUK, ALAPPUZHA DIST., REP. BY HIS POWER OF
ATTORNEY HOLDER, ABRAHAM, S/O. JACOB JOSEPH
PAZHAYAKADAVIL HOUSE, NADUVILE MURI, NADUVILE VILLAGE,
THEKKENADA P.O, VAIKOM TALUK, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT

BY ADV. SRI.K.N.CHANDRABABU

RESPONDENTS :

1. KURIAN, S/O. KORA, THURUTHIEL KALATHIL
THANNEERMUKKOM MURI, THANNERMUKKOM VILLAGE
CHERTHALA TALUK, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.
2. MATHEW KURIAN, THURUTHIEL KALATHIL,
THANNEERMUKKOM MURI, THANNEERMUKKOM VILLAGE
CHERTHALA TALUK, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.
3. SYRIAC JOHN, S/O. SYRIAC,
VALIYAVEETIL, THANNEERMUKKOM MURI
THANNEERMUKKOM VILLAGE, CHERTHALA TALUK
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.
4. THE TAHSILDAR, CHERTHALA TALUK,
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.
5. TALUK SURVEYOR, OFFICE OF THE
TALUK SURVEYOR, CHERTHALA, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.

R2 BY ADVS. SRI.SHIRAZ ABDULLA
SRI.P.M.MUJEEB REHIMAN
SRI.JOJO PAPPACHAN
R BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.E.M.ABDUL KHADIR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 25-03-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 29805 of 2005 (W)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1 : COPY OF THE CIVIL REVISION PETITION PREFERRED BY THE PETITIONER
HEREIN DATED 5.4.2004 BEFORE THIS HONOURABLE COURT

EXT.P2 : COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 4.10.2005 AFFIXED BY THE 6TH RESPONDENT

EXT.P3 : COPY OF THE OBJECTION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 5TH
RESPONDENT DATED 19.10.2005

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS :

EXT.R2(a) : COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN O.S.NO.526/92

EXT.R2(b) : COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL MUNSIF,
CHERTHALA

EXT.R2(c) : COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN C.M.A.NO.8/2001 OF THE COURT OF THE SUB-
ORDINATE JUDGE, CHERTHALA

EXT.R2(d) : COMMUNICATION ISSUED BY THE OFFICE OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

AV

K.SURENDRA MOHAN, J.

W.P.(C) No.29805 of 2005

Dated this the 25th day of March, 2015

J U D G M E N T

This writ petition is posted before me in the defect list. Notice to the 1st respondent has been returned stating that he has expired. Notice to R1 and R3 remains incomplete. There is no representation for the petitioner. The 2nd respondent is represented through counsel. It is obvious that the petitioner is no longer interested in prosecuting this writ petition. The same is, therefore, dismissed.

Sd/-

K.SURENDRA MOHAN, JUDGE.

AV