

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/27TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 22729 of 2012 (M)

PETITIONER(S):

1. ADMINISTRATOR,
MOTHER ARTS & SCIENCE COLLEGE,
PERUVALLUR,
THRISSUR DIST-680508.

2. PRINCIPAL,
MOTHER ARTS A& SCIENCE COLLEGE,
PERUVALLUR,
THRISSUR DIST-680508.

BY ADVS.SRI.BABY ISSAC ILLICKAL
SRI.ISAAC KURUVILLA ILLIKAL

RESPONDENT(S):

1. UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR,
CALICUT UNIVERSITY PIN-673635.

2. VICE-CHANCELLOR,
UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT,
CALICUT UNIVERSITY.P.O.
PIN-673635.

R1 & R2 BY SRI.SANTHOSH MATHEW, SC CALICUT UNIVERSITY

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 18-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

vmr.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P1- PHOTOCOPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGES FROM THE ANNUAL REPORT
2011 OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT.**
- EXHIBIT P2- PHOTOCOPY OF CIRCULAR ALONG WITH APPENDIX DATED
17/07/2012.**
- EXHIBIT P3- PHOTOCOPY OF THE REVISED BYE LAWS OF THE STUDENTS' UNIONS
AND REVISED COLLEGE ELECTION RULES DATED NIL.**
- EXHIBIT P4- PHOTOCOPY OF THE ORDER IN W.P.(C) NO.19626/2012 DATED
17/08/2012.**
- EXHIBIT P5- PHOTOCOPY OF THE CIRCULAR ALONG WITH ELECTION SCHEDULE
AND APPENDIX DATED 18/09/2012.**
- EXHIBIT P6- PHOTOCOPY OF THE NEWS REPORT IN MALAYALA MANORAMA
DATED 26/09/2009.**

RESPONDENT(S) EXHIBIT : NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

vmr

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.
=====

W.P.(C) No.22729 of 2012 - M
=====

Dated this the 18th day of March, 2015

J U D G M E N T

An identical issue was considered in a batch of writ petitions connected with W.P.(C) No. 17964 of 2014, wherein it is held so in paragraph 10, which is extracted hereunder:-

10. However, this Court is of the view that, since it has been made clear by the Apex Court in the interim order that the aforesaid recommendations mentioned above be accepted and adopted as an interim measure, these writ petitions can be disposed of declaring that as far as the petitioners are concerned, they shall be free to choose any one of the four modes of election for the conduct of college students union election de hors the direction issued by the respondent Universities the rules/circulars/directions impugned.

Therefore, the writ petitions are disposed of declaring that it is open to the petitioners to choose any one of the four modes of election in the college students union election as permitted by the Apex Court in the order reported in 2006(8) SCC 304 de hors the directions in the rules/circulars/directions impugned.

However, it is hereby made clear that the benefit of this judgment shall be confined to the petitioners only and it shall be subject to the final outcome of the decision of the larger bench of the

Supreme Court now pending consideration as per the reference order reported in 2010(1) SCC 353.

On the very same terms, the above writ petition is also disposed of.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge