

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRA**

**THURSDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/21ST JYAISHTA, 1937**

**WP(C).No. 28838 of 2006 (G)**  
-----

**PETITIONER(S):**  
-----

**P. VISWANATHAN,  
PUDUKKUDIYAN HOUSE, ERIPURAM CHENGAL, PAYANGADI P.O.  
KANNUR DISTRICT, PIN-670 303.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.S.P.PARAVINDAKSHAN PILLAY  
SMT.N.SANTHA  
SRI.PETER JOSE CHRISTO**

**RESPONDENT(S):**  
-----

**STEEL INDUSTRIES KERALA LTD.,  
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, ATHANI P.O.  
THRISSUR-680 771.**

**BY ADV. SRI.K.ANAND (A.201)  
BY ADV. SMT.LATHA KRISHNAN  
BY SRI.B.S.KRISHNAN, STANDING COUNSEL, STEEL INDUSTRIALS KERALA**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 11-06-2015, THE  
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**JV**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

- P1 : COPY OF THE CIRCULAR NO.HRD:CO:II(40)/033 DATED 29.01.2005 OF THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, SILK.
- P2 : COPY OF THE LETTER NO.HRD:CO:II(40)/183 DATED 31.01.2006 OF THE MANAGER (HRD) OF SILK.
- P3 : COPY OF THE LETTER NO.HRD:CO:II(40)/318 DATED 21.02.2006 OF THE MANAGER (HRD), SILK
- P4 : COPY OF THE LETTER NO.HRD:CO:II(40)/128 DATED 28.02.2006 OF THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, SILK
- P5 : COPY OF THE LETTER NO.HRD:CO:II(40)/352 DATED 28.02.2006 OF THE MANAGER (FINANCE)
- P6 : COPY OF THE NOTE NO.HRD:CO:V(II)/121 DATED 23.01.2006 OF THE MANAGER (HRD)
- P7 : COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 13.04.2006 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE MANAGING DIRECTOR OF THE COMPANY
- P8 : COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 29.09.2006 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE MANAGING DIRECTOR OF THE COMPANY

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:

- R1(A) : COPY OF LETTER DTD.10.08.2006 SENT BY THE RESPONDENT TO THE GOVERNMENT
- R1(B) : COPY OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE BIFR.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE

JV

**ANIL K. NARENDRA, J.**

**W.P.(C) No.28838 of 2006**

**Dated this the 11<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2015**

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner, who was a permanent employee of Steel Industries Kerala Limited, has filed this writ petition seeking a writ of mandamus commanding the respondent to effect payment of the full amounts due to him, as claimed in Ext.P7 together with interest and for other consequential reliefs.

2. On 22.05.2015, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent sought time to get instructions as to whether the admitted arrears have already been disbursed to the petitioner.

3. On 28.05.2015, when this writ petition came up for further consideration, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent on instructions submitted that except an amount of Rs.22,000/- due towards D.A. arrears, the entire monetary benefits payable to the petitioner has already been released. On the aforesaid submission being made by the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent, the learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to get instructions.

4. Today, when the case was taken up for further consideration, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that inspite of earnest efforts, he could not contact the petitioner.

In the above circumstances, recording the submission made by the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent that except an amount of Rs.22,000/- due towards D.A. arrears, the entire monetary benefits payable to the petitioner has already been released, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to disburse Rs.22,000/- due to the petitioner towards D.A. arrears within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the judgment.

JV

SD/-  
**ANIL K. NARENDRA,**  
**JUDGE**