

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF JULY 2015/29TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 18620 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER:

**ABDUL RAHMAN.K, AGED 54 YEARS,
S/O.KANIBAVA RAWTHER,
THOTTARIKIL PURAYIDAM, KALANJOOR,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT - 689 694.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.ESM.KABEER
SRI.M.S.JAYAKRISHNAN**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PWD ROADS AND BRIDGES,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
TRIVANDRUM - 695 001.**
- 2. CHIEF ENGINEER,
PWD ROADS AND BRIDGES,
TRIVANDRUM - 695 001.**
- 3. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PWD ROADS AND BRIDGES DIVISION,
PATHANAMTHITTA - 689 645.**
- 4. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
PATHANAMTHITTA - 689 645.**

BY SENIOR GOVT. PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 20-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- EXHIBIT P1. TRUE COPY OF THE E-TENDER DATED 24.12.2014.
- EXHIBIT P2. TRUE COPY OF THE CORRECTED E-TENDER.
- EXHIBIT P3. TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST DATED 30.01.2015 BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P4. TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY DATED 02.02.2015 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER.
- EXHIBIT P5. TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST DATED 21.02.15.
- EXHIBIT P6. TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST DATED 23.02.15.
- EXHIBIT P7. TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY DATED 10.03.15.
- EXHIBIT P8. TRUE COPY OF THE LAWYER NOTICE DATED 06.03.15.
- EXHIBIT P9. TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY DATED 21.03.15.
- EXHIBIT P10. TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 24.03.15.
- EXHIBIT P11. TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST DATED 27.03.15.
- EXHIBIT P12. TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY DATED 20.04.15.
- EXHIBIT P13. TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY DATED 11.05.2015.
- EXHIBIT P14. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 23.12.2014.
- EXHIBIT P15. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 30.01.2015.
- EXHIBIT P16. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 12.05.2015.
- EXHIBIT P17. TRUE COPY OF THE NEW E-TENDER.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P(C) No. 18620 of 2015

Dated this the 20th day of July, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner contends that the bills are not paid despite execution of 90% of the work. The learned Government Pleader, on instruction submits that even the primary agreement has not been executed till date. In such circumstance, the petitioner would have to execute the agreement and move for sanction of the bill.

Writ petition would stand closed reserving such liberty.

Sd/-
(K. VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE)

jma

//true copy//

P.A to Judge