

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

MONDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/8TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 18465 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER(S) :-

GAFOOR K.V., AGED 39 YEARS
S/O.SAITHALAVI, KALLIVALAPPIL HOUSE, CHUNANGAD P.O.
OTTAPPALAM, PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN - 679 511.

BY ADV. SRI.T.S.RAJAN

RESPONDENTS :-

1. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (DY.S.P.),
DY.S.P. OFFICE, OTTAPPALAM, OTTAPPALAM P.O.
PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN - 670 101.
2. INSPECTOR OF POLICE
OTTAPPALAM, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
OTTAPPALAM P.O., PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN - 670 101.
3. JOSEPH, AGED 50 YEARS
TEENA BHAVAN, KURIYANAYAN, KUMARAMKKUDY P.O.
KOLLAM, PIN - 689 696.

R1 & R2 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOE KALLIATH

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 29-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

jvt

WP(C).No. 18465 of 2015 (G)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

EXHIBIT P1. TRUE COPY OF THE PROMISSORY NOTE EXECUTED BY ONE
ANNAMMA DATED 11.04.2015 IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONER.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J &
A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.**

W.P.(C) No.18465 of 2015

Dated this the 29th day of June 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ashok Bhushan, CJ

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

2. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging police harassment. Petitioner's case is that the petitioner and the 3rd respondent's family were in Kuwait and they were friends and now, he has returned from Gulf. It is stated that the wife of the 3rd respondent had borrowed an amount of ₹25 lakhs from the petitioner and she executed a promissory note. It is submitted that the petitioner was called at the police station and the 2nd respondent is asking the petitioner to reduce the amount of promissory note.

3. Learned Government Pleader on instructions would submit that a complaint was submitted by the 3rd respondent before the 2nd respondent, in which connection, the petitioner was called on 10.6.2015. It is submitted that the police, after enquiry, found that the transaction took place at Kuwait and hence, the

matter was closed and no further action is taken nor the petitioner was called thereafter.

In the above view of the matter, we do not see any case of police harassment in this writ petition. Accordingly, the same is closed.

**Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**Sd/-
A.M. SHAFFIQUE
JUDGE**