

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

FRIDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/29TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 18402 of 2015 (A)

PETITIONER :

**M/S. ABR ENGINEERS & PROJECT
MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY PVT. LTD. ,
CC NO. 39/2720, DIWAN'S ROAD, KOCHI – 682 016
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR SMT DEVI AJIT**

BY ADV. SRI.DALE P. KURIAN

RESPONDENTS :

- 1. THE ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE
COCHIN COMMISSIONERATE
CENTRAL REVENUE BUILDINGS, KOCHI – 682 018.**
- 2. THE JOINT COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE
COCHIN COMMISSIONERATE
CENTRAL REVENUE BUILDINGS, KOCHI – 682 018.**
- 3. THE COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE
CUSTOMS & SERVICE TAX (APPEALS), COCHIN COMMISSIONERATE
CENTRAL REVENUE BUILDINGS, KOCHI – 682 018.**
- 4. UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO MINISTRY OF FINANCE
NEW DELHI – 110 001.**

**R1 TO R3 BY ADVS. SRI.THOMAS MATHEW NELLIMOOTTIL, SC
SRI.TOJAN J. VATHIKULAM, SC
R4 BY ADV. SRI.N.NAGARESH, ASGI
BY ADV. P.L. VENUKUMAR, CGC**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 19-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 18402 of 2015 (A)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

- EXT. P1:- TRUE COPY OF THE SHOW CAUSE NOTICE DTD. 27/2/2013
NO. 32/2013/ST.**
- EXT. P2:- TRUE COPY OF THE ASSESSMENT AND PENALTY ORDER
DTD 31/12/2014.**
- EXT. P3:- TRUE COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM OF APPEAL IN FORM ST-4 DATED
27/4/2015.**
- EXT. P4:- TRUE COPY OF THE MEDIAL CERTIFICATE DTD. 27/4/2015.**
- EXT. P5:- TRUE COPY OF THE WAIVER PETITION DTD 27/4/2015.**
- EXT. P6:- TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DTD. 2/3/2015 IN WP(C) NO. 6173/2015
CITED MUTHOOT FINANCE LTD VS. UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

W.P.(C).No.18402 of 2015

Dated this the 19th day of June, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner as against the assessment order under service tax has preferred an appeal. The proceedings are relating to the period prior to the amendment of Finance Act, 2014. Therefore the petitioner submits that, the appeal shall be considered in accordance with the unamended provisions. The petitioner also filed an application for waiver of pre-deposit. It seems that the authority insists for mandatory payment of 7.5% based on amended provisions. The petitioner relies on the judgment of this Court in W.P.(C).No.6173/2015 and submits that, this Court already held that appeal relating to the proceedings based on unamended provisions shall be dealt in accordance with the unamended provisions.

2. The learned counsel for the Department submits that, they have already preferred an appeal against W.P.(C).No.6173/2015.

3. In the above circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of with the following directions :

The petitioner's appeal shall be considered in the light of unamended provisions subject to the outcome of the appeal challenging W.P.(C).No.6173/2015. In the event of judgment in

W.P.(C).No.18402 of 2015

2

W.P.(C).No.6173/2015 is reversed by the Division Bench, necessarily the petitioner is bound by that judgment to pay the mandatory payment of 7.5%.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE.

AV