

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

THURSDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/28TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 18289 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER:

**SANKARA NARAYANAN,
S/O. RAMASWAMY MUDALIYAR, AGED 46 YEARS,
MEMBER, WARD NUMBER 12,
NEMMARA GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
THIRUTHAMPADAM, POTHUNDY,
NEMMARA VIA, CHITTUR TALUK,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN -678 508.**

BY ADV. SRI.JACOB SEBASTIAN.

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM, PIN-695 001.**
- 2. THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
TRIVANDRUM, PIN-695 001.**
- 3. THE VALLANGHY VITHANASSERY SERVICE
CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO. 282,
VITHANASSERY, NEMMARA, CHITTUR TALUK,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN-678 508.**
- 4. THE NEMMARA GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, NEMMARA,
CHITTUR TALUK, PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN-678 508.**

R1 & R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT.K.A. SANJEETHA.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 18-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

WP(C).No. 18289 of 2015 (I)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

EXHIBIT P1- A TRUE COPY OF GOVERNMENT ORDER DATED MARCH 11, 2013.

**EXHIBIT P2- A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED JUNE 8, 2015
SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:- NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

rs.

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

= = = = =

W.P(C) No.18289 of 2015

= = = = =

Dated this the 18th day of June, 2015

JUDGMENT

The limited prayer in this writ petition is for a direction to the second respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P2 representation submitted by the petitioner.

2. The petitioner alleges that the first respondent by its order dated 11.3.2013 granted permission to Local Self Government Institutions within the State to take up housing development schemes for the poor. According to the petitioner, as per the scheme, the local authorities can undertake housing development schemes for the poor in the respective panchayats and it enables availing of credit from external sources.

3. The petitioner further alleges that under the scheme, the co-operative societies/banks operating within the territorial limits of the panchayat shall provide financial assistance by way of housing loans to the needy who are the beneficiaries under the scheme. The petitioner, being the standing committee for poverty alleviation in the panchayat, is concerned with the implementation of the

scheme. He alleges that the scheme is not being implemented properly. Therefore, he submitted representation before the 1st respondent with a copy to the 2nd respondent seeking intervention in the matter. However, the 1st respondent is not taking any action on the representation.

4. Arguments have been heard.

5. When the matter came up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner has confined his argument to the limited prayer for a direction to the respondents to consider and dispose of Ext.P2 representation submitted by the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the writ petition can be disposed of even without issuing notice to the respondents 3 and 4. Notice to 1 and 2 is dispensed with.

Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P2 representation after affording the petitioner an opportunity of being heard within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Sd/-

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

krj