

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/7TH ASWINA, 1937

WP(C).No. 17862 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.JAYARAMAN
STORE ASSISTANT
KERALA STATE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MUSEUM
VIKAS BHAVAN PO, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695033**

**BY ADVS.SRI.PIRAPPANCODE V.S.SUDHIR
SRI.JELSON J.EDAMPADAM**

RESPONDENT(S):

**1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO
GOVERNMENT
HIGHER EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

**2. DIRECTOR
KERALA STATE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MUSEUM
VIKAS BHAVAN, PO, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695033**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J RAJASREE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 29-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 17862 of 2015 (G)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE GO (MS) NO.03/2012/S &T DATED 16.04.2012**
- EXTP2: TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT EXTRACT OF THE MINUTES OF
THE 31ST GOVERNING BODY MEETING HELD ON 24.04.2014**
- EXTP3: TRUE COPY OF THE GO(MS) NO.114/2014/H.EDN. DATED
05.03.2014**
- EXTP4: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.E/958/12/KSSTM DATED
20.06.2014 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT**
- EXTP5: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.E/1470/08 DATED 11.12.2014 OF
THE 2ND RESPONDENT**
- EXTP6: TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT EXTRACT OF THE AMENDMENT
OF SERVICE AND CONDUCT RULES OF KERALA STATE
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MUSEUM**
- EXTP7: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.41855/K2/14/H.EDN. DATED
12.03.2015 ADDRESSED TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT**
- EXTP8: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.E/958/12/KSSTM DATED
24.03.2015 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT**
- EXTP9: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.41855/K2/14/H.EDN. DATED
24.04.2015 OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE.

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.17862 of 2015 - G

=====

Dated this the 29th day of September, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is on the verge of retirement and seeks that the proposal made by the 2nd respondent to the 1st respondent for enhancement of retirement age from 58 to 60, may be directed to be expedited.

2. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent would submit that though the proposal was made, as per a communication dated 26.09.2015, the 1st respondent has declined the proposal.

The writ petition hence would stand closed.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.