

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

THURSDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/4TH ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 17709 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER :

**S.VENUGOPAL, AGED 61 YEARS,
S/O SANKARANARAYANA PILAI, ESTATE STAFF QUARTERS,
PATTUMALAY ESTATE, HARRISONS MALAYALAM LTD.,
KARADYKUZHY P.O, PEERUMEDU, PIN- 685 531**

**BY ADVS.SRI.T.A.UNNIKRISHNAN
SRI.K.S.PRAVEEN**

RESPONDENT :

**THE PEERMADE GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, PEERMADE P.O,
IDUKKI DISTRICT-685 531**

***ADDL.R2 IMPEADED**

***ADDL.R2: HARRISONS MALAYALAM LIMITED.,
PATTUMALLAY ESTATE, KARADIKUZHI.P.O., IDUKKI,
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGER,PIN-685 537**

***ADDL.R2 IS IMPEADED AS PER ORDER DATED 25/06/2015 IN IA.NO.8755/2015**

**BY SRI.K.K.CHANDRAN PILLAI,SENIOR ADVOCATE
ADV. SRI.ARUN ANTONY**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 25-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

sts

WP(C).No. 17709 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 25/5/15 ISSUED BY THE
RESPONDENT**

EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE ADHAR CARD OF THE PETITIONER

EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE ADHAR CARD OF THE WIFE OF THE PETITIONER

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

sts

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

=====

W.P(C) No.17709 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 25th day of June, 2015

JUDGMENT

Aggrieved by the refusal to grant residential certificate, the petitioner has come up before this Court.

2. The petitioner was a worker in the Estate of Harrisons Malayalam Limited, situated within the limits of the respondent panchayat. He alleges that he is staying in the staff quarters provided by the management. An industrial dispute is pending between him and the management. The respondent rejected his request to have a residential certificate relating to his stay in the quarters for enrolling in the voter's list stating that the management has not issued a consent letter form that. It is with this background, the petitioner has approached this Court.

3. When the matter came up for hearing on the last posting date, this Court directed the petitioner to implead the owner of the

building. Accordingly, I.A No.8755 of 2015 was filed. It was allowed and the owner of the building was impleaded as additional respondent.

4. It was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the purpose of residential certificate is for getting Aadhar card and for enrolling in the voter's list. The learned counsel would further submit that the petitioner shall not claim any special right on account of the same.

Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to issue residential certificate to the petitioner as sought for in the application referred in the writ petition. It is hereby made clear that the grant of the residential certificate shall not confer any special right on the petitioner to claim continued occupation of the premises.

Sd/-

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

krj