

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE**

FRIDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/22ND JYASHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 17692 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER :

**SEENA.G., AGED 30 YEARS,
D/O.V CHANDRIKA, HOUSE NO 6/582, REVATHY,
PLOT NO 276, VILAYIL ROAD, P.T.P NAGAR
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

BY ADV. SRI.T.K.ANANDA KRISHNAN

RESPONDENTS :

- 1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY HOME
GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**
- 2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VATTIYOOREKAVU POLICE STATION, VATTIYOORKAVU,
THIRUVANANTHAPRUAM**
- 3. SATHEESH BABU R,
DEVIKA, U-85, UTHIYANNOOR,
DEVI TEMPLE ROAD, MARUTHAMKUZHY, THIRUVANANTHAPRUAM**

R1 & R2 BY STATE ATTORNEY SRI. P. VIJAYARAGHAVAN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 12-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

bp

WP(C).No. 17692 of 2015 (J)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT P1: THE TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
AND HER PARENTS TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT ALONG WITH ITS
ENGLISH TRANSLATION**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

bp

ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ

&

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

=====

W.P (C) No. 17692 of 2015

=====

Dated this, the 12th day of June, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ashok Bhushan, CJ

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned State Attorney.

2. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner claiming protection to the life of the petitioner and her parents. Petitioner's case is that although divorce has already been granted by the Family Court on 31st of July, 2013, still, the 3rd respondent, the ex-husband of the petitioner, is harassing the petitioner by coming to the house in the night. Ext.P1 was the complaint sent by the petitioner.

3. Learned State Attorney, after obtaining instructions, submitted that on Ext.P1 complaint, an enquiry was conducted and police came to the conclusion that no such incident took place. Hence, no further action has been taken. He further submits that, still, there are some matrimonial disputes between

-:2:-

the petitioner and the 3rd respondent.

4. Be that as it may, as on date, we see no reason to issue any direction. We only observe that if any offence is committed or attempted, it shall be open for the petitioner to file appropriate complaint.

Writ petition is closed.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN, CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
A.M. SHAFFIQUE, JUDGE

Rp

//True Copy//

PS to Judge