

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/11TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 28007 of 2005 (H)

PETITIONERS:

1. S.CHANDRAN, S/O.SREEDHARAN NAIR,
AGED 44 YEARS, RESIDING AT "REVATHY", KOLLAMKAVU
PAZHAKUTTY, NEDUMANGADU, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
SECURITY GUARD, NEDUMANGADU MUNICIPALITY.
2. GOPALAKRISHNAN, S/O.KUNJURAMAN,
AGED 48 YEARS, RESIDING AT "DWARAKA", MELAMKODU
PULIPPARA, NEDUMANGADU, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
SECURITY GUARD, NEDUMANGADU, MUNICIPALITY.

BY ADV. SMT.K.PREETHA JOHN

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE MUNICIPAL SECRETARY,
NEDUMANGADU MUNICIPALITY, NEDUMANGADU
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. THE DIRECTOR, URBAN DEVELOPMENT AFFAIRS,
DIRECTORATE OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AFFAIRS
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL ADMINISTRATION(URBAN AFFAIRS), SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

R2 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.T.R. RAJESH

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 02-12-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS

- P1: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT FORWARDED TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT**
- P2: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER WITH SERVICE CONDITIONS**
- P3: TRUE COPY OF THE EXTENSION GRANTED SEEKING SANCTION**
- P3(A) TRUE COPY OF THE RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL**
- P4: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DT.6.12.2003**
- P5: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 3.9.2005**
- P6: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER FORWARDED TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT**
- P7: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER ISSUED TO THE PETITIONERS**
- P8: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER ISSUED TO THE PETITIONERS**

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 28007 of 2005 (H)

Dated this the 2nd day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

None appears for the petitioners or the 1st respondent Municipality.

2. The petitioners were aggrieved with termination effected of their services by Exts.P7 and P8 orders. The petitioners, admittedly, were temporary employees, who were continued for short periods. The termination was also effected on the instruction of the Government, based on the policy of not continuing temporary appointments and making regular appointments.

3. Based on the counter affidavit of the State, this Court does not find any reason to keep the writ petition pending. The writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE