

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

FRIDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF AUGUST 2015/30TH SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 17470 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER(S):

BABU P.F.,
S/O FRANCIS, PATHUTHAYYIL, PATHIRAPPALLY P.O.,
ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.B.PRAMOD

RESPONDENT(S):

1. UNION OF INDIA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MINISTRY OF MICRO SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES,
NEW DELHI.
2. COIR BOARD,
COIR HOUSE, COIR BOARD, COIR HOUSE,
M.G.ROAD KOCHI - 682 016.
3. ANTONY VAS,
JOINT DIRECTOR, COIR BOARD, COIR HOUSE,
M.G.ROAD KOCHI - 682 016.
4. V. BABY,
DEPUTY DIRECTOR, COIR BOARD, COIR HOUSE,
M.G.ROAD KOCHI - 682 016.
5. STATE BANK OF INDIA,
KA ICHOONDI BRANCH, ALAPPUZHA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGER.
6. CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION,
REPRESENTED BY THE HEAD OF BRANCH, ACB, KATHRIKKADAVU
KALOOR, COCHIN - 682 017.
7. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
ALAPPUZHA.
8. THE DEPUTY TAHSILDAR (R.R),
AMBALAPPUZHA, ALAPPUZHA.

R1-R2 BY ADV. SMT.O.M.SHALINA, CGC
R1 BY SRI.N.NAGARESH, ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL
R5 BY ADVS. SRI.K.K.CHANDRAN PILLAI (SR.)
SMT.S.AMBILY
R6 BY ADV. SRI.P.CHANDRASEKHARA PILLAI, C.B.I.
R7 & R8 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.GIKKU JACOB

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
21-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SCHEME
'REJUVENATION, MODERNIZATION AND TECHNOLOGY UP-GRADATION OF
THE COIR INDUSTRY.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT APPEARED IN THE MALAYALA MANORAMA
DAILY DATED 28.12.12.

EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT APPEARED IN MALAYALA MANORAMA DAILY
DATED 13/11/13.

EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 22/5/14.

EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 19/6/2014 ISSUED BY THE
RESPONDENT NO.19.

EXT.P6: TRUE COPY OF THE DEMAND NOTICE DATED 02/04/2014 ISSUED U/S 7 OF
THE REVENUE RECOVERY ACT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE.

MsV/

A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J

W.P.(C).No. 17470 of 2015

Dated this the 21st day of August, 2015

JUDGMENT

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this writ petition is not pressed. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Sd/-

A. MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

bpr