

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

THURSDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/21ST JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 17423 of 2015 (C)

PETITIONER :

**PARAMESWARAN @ PARAMASIVAN
S/O. SAMUEL, AGED 52, GRAMBY ESTATE
MANJUMALA VILLAGE, VANDIPERIYAR,
PEERUMADE, IDUKKI.**

BY ADV. SRI.S.NIDHEESH

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. THE VANDIPERIYAR GRAMA PANCHAYATH
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, VANDIPERIYAR
IDUKKI - 685 533.**
- 2. THE SECRETARY
VANDIPERIYAR GRAMA PANCHAYATH, VANDIPERIYAR
IDUKKI - 685 533.**

BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. K.A. SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 11-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1 : COPY OF THE OWNERSHIP CERTIFICATE DATED 28.4.2011.

EXT.P2 COPY OF THE LICENSE ISSUED BY THE PANCHAYATH.

EXT.P3 COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT.

EXT.P4 COPY OF THE RECEIPT OF LICENSE FEE DATED 20.1.2015.

EXT.P5 COPY OF THE REQUEST DATED 1.4.2015.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

=====

W.P(C) No.17423 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 11th day of June, 2015

JUDGMENT

Aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the respondents in considering the petitioner's application for renewal of licence, the petitioner has come up before this Court.

2. The petitioner is conducting a small provision store in building no.254A in ward no.IX of respondent panchayat. The petitioner alleges that he is having education upto 4th standard. He hails from Tamil Nadu. He is conducting the said store for the last several years and it is the only source of his livelihood.

3. The petitioner states that the respondent panchayat had issued licence for the said shop room and it expired. His grievance is that the application for renewal of licence submitted by him is kept pending by the respondent panchayat without passing any orders. Hence, this writ petition.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. As the learned counsel for the petitioner has confined his argument to the limited prayer for a time bound disposal of Ext.P5 request submitted by the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the writ petition can be disposed of even without issuing notice to the respondent panchayat.

Considering the nature of the submission made and the relief sought for, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider Ext.P5 request, after affording the petitioner an opportunity of being heard, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

To facilitate early action it shall be open to the petitioner to produce a copy of this judgment as well as copy of the writ petition before the respondent concerned at the earliest.

Sd/-

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

krj