

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/20TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 17233 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER :

**JAMES C. MECHERY
S/O.LATE M.I. CHAKKUNNY,
AGED 59 YEARS, MECHERY HOUSE
PANAMKUTTICHIRA, OLLUR P.O.,
THRISSUR DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.SHERRY J. THOMAS
SMT.K.S.LOVELY**

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
EDAKKUNNI VILLAGE, OLLUR PO, THRISSUR DISTRICT.**
- 2. ADDITIONAL TAHASILDAR,
THRISSUR TALUK OFFICE
OLLUR PO, PIN - 680 306.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
THRISSUR DISTRICT, CIVIL STATION,
PYNAVU, PIN 680 301.**

R1 TO R3 BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. C.K. SHERIN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 10-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS :

- EXT. P1- A TRUE COPY OF THE SALE DEED BEARING NOS.1638/1997 OF THE
SUB REGISTRY OFFICE, CHERPPU.
- EXT. P1(a)- A TRUE COPY OF THE SALE DEED BEARING NO.2779/1997 OF THE
SUB REGISTRY OFFICE, CHERPPU.
- EXT. P2- A TRUE COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT DATED 23.10.2013 BEARING
NUMBER 66 ISSUED BY THE FIRST RESPONDENT.
- EXT. P3- THE TRUE COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT DATED 20.8.2014 BEARING
NUMBER 6035733 ISSUED BY THE FIRST RESPONDENT.
- EXT. P4- THE TRUE COPY OF THE POSSESSION CERTIFICATE BEARING
NUMBER 1335/14, DATED 20.8.14 ISSUED BY THE FIRST RESPONDENT.
- EXT. P5- THE TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE DATED 20.8.2014 BEARING
NUMBER 1335/14A ISSUED BY THE FIRST RESPONDENT.
- EXT. P6- TRUE COPY OF REPORT DATED 10.5.2015 BEARING NUMBER
L.R.B 70/2015 ISSUED BY THIRD RESPONDENT IN FAVOUR OF
PETITIONER.
- EXT. P7- TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BEFORE THE THIRD
RESPONDENT BY THE PETITIONER ON 27.5.2015.
- EXT. P8- TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 18.5.2015 ISSUED BY THE
SECOND RESPONDENT IN FAVOUR OF PETITIONER.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P(C) No. 17233 of 2015

Dated this the 10th day of June, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with Ext.P6, which indicates that there is a “Puramboke” in the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner contends that absolutely no inspection was conducted and if at all there is any “puramboke” in the possession of the petitioner; the same would be discernible only on a proper survey conducted. In such circumstance, the District Collector shall consider the objection and if necessary a survey shall be conducted by the Taluk Surveyor for which the petitioner also would be entitled to make an application before the Taluk Surveyor.

With the above observation, writ petition would stand closed.

Sd/-
(K. VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE)

jma

//true copy//

P.A to Judge