

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG. CHIEF JUSTICE MR. ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. SHAFFIQUE

MONDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/22ND POUSHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 19772 of 2013 (V)

PETITIONER(S):

SHERLY AGED 42 YEARS
D/O. PRABHAKARA KURUP, MUTTATHEZHATH VEEDU
MUTHUKULAM P.O., MUTHUKULAM THEKKU VILLAGE
KARTHIKAPPALLY TALUK, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.D.AJITHKUMAR

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KAYAMKULAM, ALAPPUZHA - 690 502.
2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KANAKAKUNNU POLICE STATION
ALAPPUZHA - 688012.
3. SREEKUMAR, S/O. KRISHNA PILLAI, MULLASSERYTHARA MADAM,
PADANAYARKULANGARA SOUTH MURI
KARUNAGAPPALLY VILLAGE, KARUNAGAPPALLY P.O.
KARUNAGAPPALLY TALUK, KOLLAM DISTRICT- 6950 519
4. SUGUNAN, KUTTIYIL VEEDU, --DO-- --DO--
5. NABEESA, ARUNASSERIL, AYANIVELIKULANGARA, --DO-- --DO--
6. STELLA, THAIKKOOTTATHIL VEEDU, CHERIYAZHEEKKAL --DO- --DO--
7. THULASI, ARUN NIVAS, AYANIVELIKULANGARA --DO- --DO--
8. PRASANNAN, KAITHAKKOTTUTHARA THEKKATHIL, --DO-- --DO--
9. VIJAYALAKSHMI, ROHINI DRIVING SCHOOL RAMAPURAM KOLLAM 690516

R8 BY ADV. SRI.SIRAJ KAROLY

R6 BY ADV. SMT.M.A.ZOHRA

R1 & 2 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. C.R. SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 12-01-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXT.P-1: A TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION BEFORE THE FAMILY COURT, ALAPPUZHA
DATED 15.6.2013

EXT.P-2: A TRUE COPY OF THE COMPROMISE PETITION DTD 19.6.2013

EXT.P-3: A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE FAMILY COURT ALAPPUZHA DTD 20.6.2013

EXT.P-4: A TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER DTD 20.7.2013

EXT.P-5: A TRUE COPY OF THE WRITTEN COMPLAINT DTD 29.7.2013

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL

// TRUE COPY //

P.A. TO JUDGE

sou.

ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag.CJ & A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.(C). No. 19772 of 2013

Dated this the 12th day of January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Shaffique,J.

Petitioner has approached this court seeking police protection alleging that respondents 4 to 9 are threatening the petitioner.

2. The third respondent is petitioner's husband and according to the petitioner, there is matrimonial dispute between them.

3. Learned counsel for the sixth respondent submits that the sixth respondent filed a complaint before the Superintendent of Police on the allegation that the petitioner as well as the third respondent had received certain amount from the sixth respondent, which is not returned. Therefore, a case for cheating has to be taken against her. For that purpose, enquiries were being made by the police and at that stage, petitioner has approached this court.

4. Learned Government Pleader on instructions submits that there is no law and order situation and there is no threat to the

petitioner.

We do not think that any direction as sought for can be granted to the petitioner, in view of the aforesaid submission.

The writ petition is closed.

Sd/-
**ASHOK BHUSHAN,
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

Sd/-
**A.M.SHAFFIQUE,
JUDGE.**

sou.

// True copy //