

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

MONDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/18TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 16965 of 2015 (U)

PETITIONER(S):

**SHABIN JOSEPH,
PULIKKAL HOUSE, PO. VILANGAD, VADAKARA.**

BY ADV. SRI.I.DINESH MENON

RESPONDENT(S):

**SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY , VADAKARA - 671 101.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.A.SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
08-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

WP(C).No. 16965 of 2015 (U)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 31/7/2006.

EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 30/4/2015.

EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC NO 16458/15 DATED 3/6/15.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE.

Msv/

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI,J.

W.P.(c) No. 16965 of 2015

Dated this the 08th day of June, 2015

JUDGMENT

Aggrieved by the delay in passing orders on Exhibit P2 representation by the respondent, the petitioner has come up before this Court.

2. The petitioner is the registered owner of a stage carriage vehicle KL – 18 N 8082 covered by regular permit on the route Kallachi – Thottipalam – Vadakara. It is alleged that subsequent to the allotment of timings to the petitioner, several other services were introduced in the same sector and many permits were surrendered and hence those timings became vacant. Petitioner intends to make slight changes to the petitioner's service so as to avoid the clash of timings and in public interest. Therefore, the petitioner submitted Ext.P2 request for revision of timings of his service.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader in the matter.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner confined his argument to the limited prayer for a direction to the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Exhibit P2 representation within a time frame.

Considering the nature of the submission, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Exhibit P2 representation after affording the petitioner an opportunity of being heard, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

DMR/-