

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

FRIDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/15TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 16867 of 2015 (G)

PETITIONER :

SANISH, AGED 33 YEARS
S/O.SATHYASHEELAN, PRUTHIVILLA VEEDU,
PARASAVAIKKAL, TRIVANDRUM.

BY ADV. SRI.M.R.SARIN

RESPONDENTS :

1. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CIRCLE OFFICE, PARASSALA POLICE STATION, TRIVANDRUM 695 001.
2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PARASSALA POLICE STATION TRIVANDRUM 695 501.
3. CHANDRAN, ASWATHI BHAVAN, SULLAMKUDI
ARUMANOOR, POOVAR TRIVANDRUM 695 506.
4. SHAILAJA, D/O.CHANDRAN,
ASWATHI BHAVAN, SULLAMKUDIARUMANOOR,
POOVAR TRIVANDRUM 695 506.
5. ASWATHI, D/O.CHANDRAN,
ASWATHI BHAVAN, SULLAMKUDIARUMANOOR,
POOVAR TRIVANDRUM 695 506.

R1 & R2 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SRI.P.I. DAVIS

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
05-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXT. P1: TRUE COPY OF COMPLAINT GIVEN BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 1ST
RESPONDENT ON 20/5/15.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF THE EXT.P1.

EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF COMPLAINT GIVEN BY THE PETITIONER TO THE 2ND
RESPONDENT ON 20/5/2015.

EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF THE EXT.P3.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS :

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J. & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 16867 OF 2015

Dated this the 5th day of June, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, C.J.

The petitioner has come up with this writ petition praying for police protection.

2. Petitioner submits that 5th respondent is the wife of the petitioner whereas 3rd respondent is the father in law and 4th respondent is the mother in law. It is alleged that the marriage of the petitioner and 5th respondent was solemnized on 12.09.2014. There being some differences, counseling was done but now the 5th respondent is living with her father. Petitioner claims that he has submitted a complaint dated 20.05.2015 which is produced as Ext.P3. Petitioner's case is that he has been threatened by the 3rd respondent.

3. A perusal of the complaint and pleadings in the writ petition does indicate that the issue between the petitioner and respondent are of matrimonial dispute and no such incident has happened which required any police investigation

as on date. We only observe that in any event if any offence is committed or there is any attempt to commit offence, it is always open for the petitioner to file a complaint before the police authorities and the police authorities may look into the same and take appropriate action.

With the above observation, the Writ Petition is closed.

**Ashok Bhushan,
Chief Justice.**

**A.M. Shaffique,
Judge.**