

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

FRIDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/15TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 16837 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER:

**AAKASH DEV.K.A,
KALATHIL PRAMBIL HOUSE,
VALUMMEL, THOPPUMPADY,
KOCHI 5.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.DEEPAK

RESPONDENT:

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
ERNAKULAM- 682030.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.A.SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 05-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

PJ

WP(C).No. 16837 of 2015 (D)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

P1: A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY PERMIT DTD 2/6/2015

P2: A TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DTD 2/5/2014 IN WPC 9695 OF 2014

**P3: A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DTD 25/5/2015 OF THE PRESIDENT,
KUMBALAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL.

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 16837 of 2015

Dated this the 5th day of June, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has approached this Court for a direction to the respondent to consider Ext.P1 application in the light of Ext.P2 judgment.

2. The petitioner alleges that a stage carriage bearing Reg.No.KL-07/R 5599, which had been issued with a regular permit valid for five years to conduct service on the route between Aluva and Panangad, defaulted operation. The petitioner further alleges that the said route is an intraregional route and the vacancy occasioned by the withdrawal of the regular service, several other stage carriages were issued with temporary permits from time to time to conduct substitute service in the vacant timings of the defaulted service. As the route has again fallen vacant and no other stage carriage is conducting service in the vacant timings, the petitioner filed Ext.P1 application for temporary permit for a period of four months to conduct service on the said route, which is pending. According to the petitioner, the withdrawal of the regular

service has severely prejudiced the interests of the traveling public. Hence, the petitioner approached this Court for a direction to the respondent to consider Ext.P1 application.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

4. When the matter was taken up, the learned counsel for the petitioner confined his argument to the limited prayer for a direction to the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P1 in the light of Ext.P2 judgment within a time frame.

Considering the nature of the relief sought for, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P1 in the light of Ext.P2 judgment, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

To facilitate an early action, the petitioner shall be at liberty to produce a copy of this judgment as well as a copy of this writ petition along with a copy of Ext.P2 judgment before the respondent at the earliest.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE