

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

FRIDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/22ND JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 16821 of 2015 (C)

PETITIONER(S):

**BABY ANTOS, PARAYIL HOUSE,
EDAMARUKU (PO), MELUKAVU,
KOTTAYAM.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.SUJESH MENON V.B.
SRI.K.B.ARUNKUMAR**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT OFFICER,
OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT OFFICER,
IDUKKI, PIN - 685 584.**
- 2. ADDITIONAL LICENSING AUTHORITY,
VAIKOM, PIN - 686 143.**
- 3. THE DEPUTY TRANSPORT COMMISSIONER,
CZ -11, ERNAKULAM, PIN - 682 030.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.A.SANJEETHA

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 12-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:

- P1- THE TRUE COPY OF THE PERMIT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER.
- P2- THE TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 25.7.2014 PASSED BY THE
2ND RESPONDENT.
- P3- THE TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- P4- THE TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 18.4.2015 IN ST.NO 2662/2015 ON
THE FILE OF JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT -II, (MOBILE),
KOTTAYAM.
- P5- THE TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 28.4.2015 ISSUED BY THE
1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS: **NIL.**

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

=====

W.P(C) No.16821 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 12th day of June, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ext.P5 notice issued by the first respondent is under challenge.

2. The petitioner is a stage carriage permit holder of bus bearing Reg. No.KL-39 B-3236. The petitioner alleges that without hearing him, the learned Magistrate vide Ext.P4 judgment directed the first respondent to suspend the permit for two months. Based on the said direction, the first respondent issued Ext.P5 notice. Though the fact that the petitioner was not heard before passing Ext.P2 was brought to the notice of the first respondent, the proceedings are still continuing. It is with this background, the petitioner has come up before this Court.

3. Arguments have been heard.

As the matter is now pending before the first respondent, Regional Transport Officer, the writ petition is closed reserving the

right of the petitioner to appear before the first respondent. There, the petitioner can project his grievance, if any.

Sd/-

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

krj