

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/20TH JYASHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 16384 of 2015 (W)

PETITIONER(S) :

**JAYAN, AGED 36 YEARS,
S/O.SRI.SASIDHARAN, MANDANATHIMADAM, EZHUKONE,
KOTTARAKKARA TALUK, KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.N.ANILKUMAR
SMT.O.H.NAZEEBA**

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KOTTARAKARA POLICE STATION, PIN- 691 506.**
- 2. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER,
KOTTARAKKARA POLICE STATION,
KOTTARAKKARA, PIN-691 506.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 10-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Msd

WP(C).No. 16384 of 2015 (W)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER IN CRL.M.P.NO.261/15
DATED 12.02.2015.**

**EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER IN W.P.(C) NO.31736/14
DATED 01/12/2014.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE

Msd

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.16384 of 2015 - W

=====

Dated this the 10th day of June, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner seeks for the following reliefs:

(i) To issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, Order or Direction commanding the respondents not to include the name of the petitioner in hit list of criminals published under the respondents police station.

(ii) To issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, Order or Direction commanding the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner after verifying the records and his criminal antecedents.

2. The learned Government Pleader submits that, the pleadings are vague and the grievances are rooted on mere apprehensions. There can be no relief granted as prayed for especially since the inclusion of the petitioner, if at all in any list of criminals, would depend upon his antecedents and also his

W.P.(C) No.16384 of 2015 - W

future conduct. What the petitioner wants is not discernible from the rather puerile averments made and there is also not disclosed any specific cause of action but for the apprehensions wildly aired by the petitioner.

The writ petition is found to be not maintainable and the same is dismissed in limine.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.