

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF JUNE 2015/13TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No.16072 of 2015 (H)

PETITIONER:

**ASHARAF A.K,PUTHANPURAYIL HOUSE,
ARAF NAGAR 49,ERAVIPURAM P.O,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

**BY DR.K.P.SATHEESAN (SENIOR ADVOCATE
ADVS SRI.P.MOHANDAS (ERNAKULAM)
SRI.ANOOP.VNAIR
SRI.S.VIBHEESHANAN**

RESPONDENT'S:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY,
INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT,GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. KERALA SMALL INDUSTRIES DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 3. THE ESTATE MANAGER,INDUSTRIAL ESTATE,
UMAYANALLOOR,KOLLAM DISTRICT,
PIN-691 547.**

**R1 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR.
R2,R3 BY ADV.SRI.R.T.PRADEEP**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 03-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

pk

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

- P1 - TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER ALONG WITH NECESSARY FEES DATED 21.11.2011.**
- P2 - TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 28.11.2011.**
- P3 - TRUE COPY OF THE SKETCH PREPARED BY THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER OF THE 2ND RESPONDENTS.**
- P4 - TRUE COPY OF THE SKETCH PREPARED BY THE AUTHORIZED ENGINEER OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**
- P5 - TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 15.12.2011.**
- P6 - TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 16.07.2013.**
- P7 - TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 8.12.2014.**
- P8 - TRUE COPY OF THE SKETCH SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER ALONG WITH EXT.P-7.**
- P9 - TRUE COPY OF THE LAST REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER DATED 9.4.2015.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

pk

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.16072 of 2015 - H

=====

Dated this the 3rd day of June, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner was allotted two industrial plots by the 2nd respondent pursuant to a notification. The petitioner had in fact applied for 10 cents and the petitioner was granted an allotment of two plots having a total extent of 7.8 cents. The petitioner contends that one of the said plots is not suitable for utilisation and that he is willing to surrender the same. The petitioner also seeks for an allotment of a different plot for carrying on the industry proposed by the petitioner.

2. The petitioner only seeks consideration of Ext.P9 representation. In such circumstance, the 2nd respondent shall consider the representation in accordance with law, within a period of two months from today.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.