

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE**

FRIDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF MAY 2015/8TH JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 15985 of 2015 (W)

PETITIONER(S):

**C.A.IQBAL AGED 42 YEARS
S/O.AZIZ, RESIDING AT HOUSE NO:1/1134, RDB ROAD
KUNNUMPURAM, FORT KOCHI TALUK, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT
PIN - 682 001.**

BY ADV. SRI.T.P.ABDUL HAMEED

RESPONDENT(S):-:

- 1. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
COMMISSIONER OFFICE, MATTANCHERY, KOCHI TALUK
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN - 682 002.**
- 2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
FORTKOCHI POLICE STATION, FORTKOCHI, PIN - 682 001.**
- 3. UMARUL FAROOK, AGED 49 YEARS
S/O.HAMSA, RESIDING AT HOUSE NO:11/107
THAMARAPARAMBIL, FORT KOCHI VILLAGE, KOCHI TALUK
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN - 682 001.**

BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAM KUMAR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29-05-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ

&

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

=====

W.P (C) No. 15985 of 2015

=====

Dated this, the 29th day of May, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ashok Bhushan, CJ

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader. No notice is being issued to the 3rd respondent in view of the judgment which is being passed.

2. Petitioner has come up in the writ petition alleging harassment by the 2nd respondent. He submitted that at the instance of the 3rd respondent, petitioner is being harassed by the police authorities. Learned Government Pleader after obtaining instructions submits that one complaint was filed by the petitioner in June, 2014 on which parties were called and finding that the dispute was civil in nature, the matter was closed. He submits that again on 24th of March, 2015, on a complaint received from the 3rd respondent, the petitioner was called to appear on 1st April, 2015. He appeared and a statement was recorded and finding the dispute to be civil in nature, the matter has been closed by the

-:2:-

police. Learned Government Pleader further submits that the 3rd respondent has also filed a civil suit.

3. Be that as it may, petitioner was called in connection with a complaint received from the 3rd respondent. We do not find it a case for police harassment, More so, police having already closed the complaint, we do not find any good ground to entertain the writ petition.

Writ petition is closed.

Sd/-

ASHOK BHUSHAN, CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, JUDGE

Rp

//True Copy//

PA to Judge